

Viscosity Solutions of the p -Laplacian, $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Juan J. Manfredi

University of Pittsburgh

&

US National Science Foundation

Relevant papers, including these transparencies, available
at <http://www.pitt.edu/~manfredi/quasi.html>

1. Introduction

For $p > 1$ consider the p -Laplace equation

$$(1) \quad -\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) = 0,$$

where $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a real function defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Equation (1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the p -Dirichlet integral

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

For $p = 2$ we just get the usual Laplacian.

For $p > 2$ equation (1) is *degenerate elliptic* and for $1 < p < 2$ *singular*, at points where $\nabla u = 0$.

2. Sobolev Weak Solutions

Multiply equation (1) by a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and integrate by parts to obtain

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle dx = 0.$$

For the integrand to be in L^1 one would need *a priori* to know only that $\nabla u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p-1}(\Omega)$. We could say that a function in the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p-1}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of equation (1), if (2) holds for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

However, little is known about this class of “ultra” weak solutions. In order to get the first Cacciopoli type estimates it is necessary to use test functions of the form $\eta^p u$ where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. One needs to assume a priori that $\nabla u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega)$.

Definition: A function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a (Sobolev) weak solution of the p -Laplace equation if (2) holds for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Weak solutions of the p -Laplace equation are often called *p-harmonic* functions.

Remark on regularity: Ural'tseva (68) proved that for $p > 2$ weak solutions of equation (1) have Hölder continuous derivatives. This result was later extended to cover the case $1 < p < 2$ by Lewis (83) and DiBenedetto (83). However, in general, solutions do not have any better regularity than $C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\alpha}$.

The lack of classical second derivatives prevents the point-wise interpretation of (1) as well as rigorous calculations with second derivatives that formally make sense. The consideration of viscosity solutions of degenerate elliptic equations like (1) provides us with a device to overcome this difficulty.

As in the linear theory ($p = 2$), sub and supersolutions are necessary for the treatment of the obstacle problem and for Perron's method.

Definition A function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a (Sobolev) p -supersolution of equation (1) if

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle dx \geq 0$$

for every nonnegative test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem (Serrin, 64) Every p -supersolution is locally essentially bounded below and it always has a representative that is lower semi-continuous.

3. Potential Theoretic Weak Solutions

p -supersolutions always satisfy the comparison principle with respect to p -harmonic functions. This property is used to define supersolutions in the potential theoretic sense.

Definition: A lower semi-continuous function $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that is not identically $+\infty$ is p -superharmonic, if it satisfies the comparison principle with respect to p -harmonic functions in every subdomain D with closure in Ω : If a p -harmonic function $h \in C(\overline{D})$ is such that

$$u(x) \geq h(x) \text{ for all } x \in \partial D$$

then

$$u(x) \geq h(x) \text{ for all } x \in D.$$

Theorem (Lindqvist, 86) Every p -supersolution has a lower semicontinuous representative that is p -superharmonic.

Example: The *fundamental solution* given by

$$x \mapsto |x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}$$

for $1 < p < n$ and by

$$x \mapsto \log \left(\frac{1}{|x|} \right)$$

for $p = n$, is p -superharmonic, yet not a p -supersolution in any domain containing the origin.

Theorem (Lindqvist, 86) If v is locally bounded and p -superharmonic, then $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ and it is a (Sobolev) p -supersolutions.

4. Viscosity Solutions

Local Definition: A lower semi-continuous function $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that is not identically $+\infty$ is a p -supersolution in the viscosity sense if for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$ touching u from below at x_0 , that is

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \phi(x_0) = u(x_0), \\ (ii) \quad & \phi(x) < u(x) \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \text{ and} \\ (iii) \quad & \nabla \phi(x_0) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(5) \quad -\operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \right) (x_0) \geq 0.$$

Note the need for condition (4)(iii) in the pointwise evaluation of (5) in the case $1 < p < 2$, since we need the function $x \mapsto -\operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \right) (x)$ to be defined at every point near x_0 .

Remarks: (i) we need only to ask that (4)(ii) holds in a neighborhood of the point x_0 ,

(ii) by adding $-\epsilon|x - x_0|^4$ to ϕ we can replace “ $<$ ” by “ \leq ” in (4)(ii) and,

(iii) it suffices to test with quadratic polynomials ϕ .

Definition based on Comparison A lower semi-continuous function $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that is not identically $+\infty$ is a p -supersolution in the viscosity sense, if for every domain D whose closure is contained in Ω and for every $\phi \in C^2(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi) < 0 & \text{in } D \\ \phi \leq u & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

we have $\phi \leq u$ in D .

Lemma 1: Local Definition \equiv Definition based on comparison.

Lemma 2: Every p -superharmonic function is a p -supersolution in the viscosity sense.

We have three different notions of weak supersolutions in increasing order of generality:

p -supersolutions,

p -superharmonic functions, and

p -supersolutions in the viscosity sense.

The relationship between the first two is very well understood. Locally bounded p -superharmonic functions are p -supersolutions and a given p -superharmonic function is a monotone increasing pointwise limit of p -supersolutions.

Theorem 1 (Juutinen-Lindqvist-M, 01)

p -superharmonic functions = p -supersolutions in the viscosity sense.

In order to prove this theorem, we must show that p -supersolutions in the viscosity sense satisfy the comparison principle with respect to p -harmonic functions. If one knew that p -harmonic functions could be approximated by C^2 -smooth strict supersolutions, the converse would follow easily. However, such an approximation result is not known to us for $p \neq 2$.

Theorem 2 (Juutinen-Lindqvist-M, 01) Suppose that u is a p -subsolution in viscosity sense and v is a p -supersolution in the viscosity sense in a bounded domain Ω . If for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ we have

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} u(y) \leq \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} v(y)$$

and both sides are not simultaneously ∞ or $-\infty$, then $u(x) \leq v(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

The proof of this theorem is based on the *maximum principle for semi-continuous functions* of Crandall-Ishii-Lions-Jensen (92).

5. Jets

Definition: Let v be an extended real valued function defined in a domain Ω . For a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ we define the second order sub-jet $J^{2,-}(v, x_0)$ as the set of all pairs $(\eta, X) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of symmetric $n \times n$ real matrices, such that as $x \rightarrow x_0$ we have

$$v(x) \geq v(x_0) + \langle \eta, x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle X(x - x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + o(|x - x_0|^2).$$

Definition: Let u be an extended real valued function defined in a domain Ω . For $x_0 \in \Omega$ we define the second order super-jet $J^{2,+}(u, x_0)$ as the set of all pairs $(\eta, X) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that as $x \rightarrow x_0$ we have

$$u(x) \leq u(x_0) + \langle \eta, x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle X(x - x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + o(|x - x_0|^2).$$

Facts about Jets:

- (i) the sets $J^{2,+}(u, x)$ and $J^{2,-}(u, x)$ could very well be empty.
- (ii) If $J^{2,+}(u, x) \cap J^{2,-}(u, x) \neq \emptyset$, then it contains only one pair (η_0, X_0) . Moreover, the function u is differentiable at x_0 , the vector $\eta_0 = \nabla u(x_0)$ and we say that u is twice pointwise differentiable at x_0 and write $D^2u(x_0) = X_0$.

(iii) Jets are determined by smooth functions ϕ that touch a function u from above or below at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$. Denote by $K^{2,-}(u, x_0)$ the collection of pairs

$$(\nabla \phi(x_0), D^2 \phi(x_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$ touches u from below at x_0 ; that is, $\phi(x_0) = u(x_0)$ and $\phi(x) < u(x)$ for $x \neq x_0$. Similarly, we define $K^{2,+}(u, x_0)$ using smooth test functions that touch a function u from above. In fact we have:

Lemma (Ishii-Crandall, 96):

$$K^{2,+}(u, x_0) = J^{2,+}(u, x_0)$$

and

$$K^{2,-}(u, x_0) = J^{2,-}(u, x_0).$$

From this lemma we see that the local definition and the definition based on comparison of viscosity supersolutions are equivalent to:

Jets Definition: A lower semi-continuous function $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that is not identically $+\infty$ is a p -supersolution in the viscosity sense, if for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every pair $(\eta, X) \in J^{2,-}(u, x_0)$ with $\eta \neq 0$, we have

$$(6) \quad - \left[|\eta|^{p-2} \text{trace}(X) + (p-2)|\eta|^{p-4} \langle X \cdot \eta, \eta \rangle \right] \geq 0.$$

Note that (6) can be replaced by

$$(7) \quad - \left[|\eta|^2 \text{trace}(X) + (p-2) \langle X \cdot \eta, \eta \rangle \right] \geq 0$$

without affecting the notion of p -supersolution.

6. Proof of Theorem 2

First reduction (approximation by smooth domains). We may assume, without loss of generality, that the bounded domain Ω is smooth, the function $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is p -harmonic, and $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Based on regularity results for the p -Laplacian.

Second reduction (approximation by “regularized” equations). It is enough to prove the comparison principle in the case when v is a weak solution of the equation

$$(8) \quad -\Delta_p v = \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Based on the following:

Lemma: Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be p -harmonic in a bounded domain Ω , and let v_ϵ be the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p v_\epsilon = \epsilon & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_\epsilon = v & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v$ locally uniformly in Ω as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The “viscosity properties” of weak solutions of (8) are contained in the following:

Key Lemma: Let $v_\epsilon \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a continuous weak solution of the equation $-\Delta_p v_\epsilon = \epsilon$ in Ω , and let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$ be such that $v_\epsilon - \phi$ has a strict local minimum at x_0 (ϕ touches v_ϵ from below exactly at x_0 .) Then

$$\limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0 \\ x \neq x_0}} (-\Delta_p \phi(x)) \geq \epsilon,$$

provided that $\nabla \phi(x_0) \neq 0$ or x_0 is an isolated critical point.

Final Step: Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smoothly bounded domain, u is a viscosity p -subsolution, and $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a weak solution of $-\Delta_p v = \epsilon$ in Ω such that $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then $u \leq v$ in Ω .

Based on a p -variation of the maximum principle for semi-continuous functions of Crandall-Ishii-Lions-Jensen.

7. ∞ -harmonic functions

What is the limit of the p -Laplacian as $p \rightarrow \infty$? Let u_p be the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_p = F & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where the domain Ω and the boundary datum F are smooth. Does the limit of u_p exist as $p \rightarrow \infty$? If so, what equation does it satisfy?

To discover the equation that u_∞ must satisfy, let us proceed formally and divide (7) by $p - 2$ and let $p \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain that for every pair $(\eta, X) \in J^{2,-}(u_\infty, x_0)$ we must have

$$-\langle X \cdot \eta, \eta \rangle \geq 0.$$

This argument can be made rigorous (by using jets) to conclude that u_∞ is a viscosity solution of the equation

$$(10) \quad -\Delta_\infty u = -\langle D^2 u \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = 0$$

in Ω . The operator on the left-hand side of (10) is denoted Δ_∞ and is given by

$$\Delta_\infty u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$

It is not clear whether notions of weak solution other than viscosity solutions apply in this case. Naturally, this operator is called the ∞ -Laplacian and the solutions of the equation $-\Delta_\infty u = 0$ are called ∞ -harmonic functions.

For a finite p , the unique solution to (9) minimizes the p -Dirichlet integral

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx$$

among all functions with boundary values F . Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ one would guess that u_∞ minimizes the sup-norm of the gradient among all functions with boundary values F . This is, indeed, the case. Moreover, this minimization property still holds when restricting u_∞ to any subdomain of Ω (Aronsson, 67)

We could say that (10) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional $\|\nabla u\|_\infty$.

So far we have indicated how to show the existence of ∞ -harmonic functions with given boundary values.

Jensen (93) established uniqueness in the viscosity class, thereby showing that the Dirichlet problem for $-\Delta_\infty$ is well posed.

8. The ∞ -eigenvalue problem

Up to multiplication by a positive constant there exists a unique positive function $u_p \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that minimizes the p -Rayleigh quotient

$$J_p(u) = \frac{(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{1/p}}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx)^{1/p}}$$

among all nonzero functions $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Let Λ_p be the minimum of J_p . Then the p -ground state u_p is a solution of the equation

$$(11) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = \Lambda_p^p |u|^{p-2} u.$$

We ask now what should be the equation that the ∞ -ground state satisfies. This number turns out to be the reciprocal of the radius of the largest ball in Ω

$$\Lambda_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\max\{d(x, \partial\Omega) : x \in \Omega\}}.$$

One can now proceed formally to obtain that u_{∞} must be a solution of the equation

$$(12) \quad \min\{|\nabla u| - \Lambda_{\infty} u, -\Delta_{\infty} u\} = 0.$$

This calculation can indeed be made rigorous (Juutinen-Lindqvist-M, Fukagai-Ito-Narukawa, 99).

In the case of a ball, it is known that the distance to the boundary is an ∞ -ground state, since it is the limit of p -ground states. For more complicated geometries, we can use the equation for the ∞ -ground states to prove that this is not the case. For example, when Ω is a square, the distance to the boundary $d(x, \partial\Omega)$ is not an ∞ -ground state, although it minimizes the formal limit of the functionals J_p as $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$J_\infty(u) = \frac{\|\nabla u\|_\infty}{\|u\|_\infty}.$$

To obtain deeper results we must study the uniqueness of ∞ -ground states. So far as we know, uniqueness has only been established in the case when Ω is a ball, where the only solution is the distance to the boundary. However, we do have uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem for the equation (12) if the boundary datum is strictly positive.

Corollary: If we have a non-trivial solution to (12) with any $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in place of Λ_∞ , then indeed $\Lambda = \Lambda_\infty$.

9. Superharmonicity of ground states

Consider the p -ground state u_p in a bounded convex domain Ω . This is the unique positive solution of (11) up to multiplication by a positive constant.

Theorem (Lindqvist-M-Saaksman,00) For $p > 2$ the ground state u_p is superharmonic (that is 2-superharmonic).

This statement does not involve any use of viscosity solutions. However its proof is based on calculations with second derivatives that are rigorous only in the viscosity sense.

10. Concavity

Consider the equation

$$(13) \quad -D^2u = 0,$$

where 0 denotes the zero matrix. Supersolutions in the viscosity sense are defined using the matrix partial order relation. A lower semicontinuous function is a supersolution in the viscosity sense of (13) if for every test function $\phi \in C^2$ touching u from below at a point x_0 we have

$$-D^2\phi(x_0) \geq 0$$

in the sense of matrices. That is, the symmetric matrix $-D^2\phi(x_0)$ is positive semi-definite.

It is easy to see that a concave function must always be a supersolution in the viscosity sense of (13). Actually, the converse is also true:

Theorem (Alvarez-Lasry-Lions, 97 and Lindqvist-M-Saaksman, 00): Concave functions are precisely supersolutions of equation (13) in the viscosity sense.

11. Radò's Theorem

The classical theorem of T. Radò says that if a continuous function $f(z)$ is holomorphic when $f(z) \neq 0$, then it is holomorphic in its domain of definition.

Theorem (Král, 83): Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that $u \in C^1(\Omega)$. If u is harmonic on the set

$$\Omega \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) = 0\},$$

then it is harmonic in the whole Ω .

Theorem (Kilpeläinen for $n = 2$ (94), Juutinen-Lindqvist for general $n \geq 2$, (02)): Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that $u \in C^1(\Omega)$. If u is p -harmonic on the set

$$\Omega \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) = 0\},$$

then it is p -harmonic in the whole Ω .