

ECON 2100: Problem Set 1

Due September 8th in class

1. Suppose there is some finite set of alternatives X . An individual's weak preference relation on X is denoted by R and her strict preference relation (derived from R) by P . R is reflexive and complete.

R is said to be *transitive* if for all $x, y, z \in X$, xPy and yPz implies xPz . R is said to be *acyclic* if for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$, if x_iPx_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, then x_1Rx_k .

- (a) Show that if R is transitive, it is also acyclic.
- (b) Provide an example to demonstrate that there exist acyclic preferences that are not transitive. Thus, acyclicity is weaker than transitivity.
- (c) Let $M(R, Y)$ (where $Y \subseteq X$) denote the set of R -maximal elements in Y i.e.

$$M(R, Y) = \{x \in Y : \text{for all } y \in Y, xRy\}.$$

$M(R, Y)$ can be thought of as the *choice set* from Y . We know that R being transitive is sufficient for choice to be well-defined, i.e. for $M(R, Y)$ to be non-empty. Prove that $M(R, Y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $Y \subseteq X$ if and only if R is acyclic.

2. The purpose of this problem is to make sure that you fully understand the basic concepts of utility representation and continuous preferences.
 - (a) Is the statement "if both U and V represent \succsim then there is a *strictly* monotonic function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V(x) = f(U(x))$ " correct?
 - (b) Can a continuous preference relation be represented by a discontinuous function?
 - (c) Show that in the case of $X = \mathbb{R}$, the preference relation that is represented by the discontinuous utility function $u(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$ (the largest integer n such that $x \geq n$) is not a continuous relation.
 - (d) Show that the following definition of a continuous preference relation is equivalent to the standard definition given in MWG (Definition 3.C.1, page 46):

Definition 1 For any $x \in X$, the upper and lower contour sets $\{y \mid y \succsim x\}$ and $\{y \mid x \succ y\}$ are closed sets in X .

3. A consumer has *lexicographic* preferences over $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if the relation \succsim satisfies $(x_1, x_2) \succsim (y_1, y_2)$ whenever $x_1 > y_1$, or $x_1 = y_1$ and $x_2 \geq y_2$.
- (a) Sketch an indifference map for these preferences.
 - (b) Can these preferences be represented by a continuous utility function? Why or why not?
4. Suppose $u(x_1, x_2)$ and $v(x_1, x_2)$ are utility functions.
- (a) Prove that if $u(x_1, x_2)$ and $v(x_1, x_2)$ are both homogeneous of degree r , then $s(x_1, x_2) \equiv u(x_1, x_2) + v(x_1, x_2)$ is also homogeneous of degree r .
 - (b) Prove that if $u(x_1, x_2)$ and $v(x_1, x_2)$ are both quasiconcave, then $m(x_1, x_2) \equiv \min\{u(x_1, x_2), v(x_1, x_2)\}$ is also quasiconcave.