

## Arrow's impossibility theorem

Due date: 2/19/08

Complete the proof of Arrow's impossibility theorem

Notation:

$N$  set of individuals, with  $|N| = n \geq 2$

$X$  set of social states, with  $|X| = k \geq 3$

$\mathcal{R}$  set of all weak orderings on  $X$

$R_i$  individual  $i$ 's preferences (complete and transitive)

$R$  social preferences

$\rho = (R_1, \dots, R_n)$  generic element of  $\mathcal{R}^n$

An *Arrowian social welfare function* (henceforth swf) is a function  $f : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ .

Consider the following conditions on  $f$ :

**UD** *Unrestricted domain*: For all  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^n$ ,  $f(\rho) = R$  is complete and transitive

**WP** *Weak Pareto principle*: for all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $xP_i y$  for all  $i \in N$ , then  $xPy$

**IIA** *Independence of irrelevant alternatives*: suppose  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  agree on  $x, y \in X$  (i.e.  $xR_i y$  if and only if  $xR'_i y$ , for all  $i \in N$ ); then  $xRy$  if and only if  $xR'y$

**ND** *Non-dictatorship*: there is no individual  $i \in N$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^n$ ,  $xP_i y$  implies  $xPy$

**Theorem 1** *There is no swf satisfying UD, WP, IIA, and ND*

*A do-it-yourself proof*

Assume that **UD**, **WP**, and **IIA** are satisfied. We will show that **ND** is not.

**Step 1:** Consider some social state  $c \in X$ , and profile of orderings  $\rho$  such that  $xP_i c$  for all  $i \in N$  and for all  $x \neq c$  (i.e.  $c$  is at the bottom of everyone's rankings). Explain why  $c$  must be at the bottom of the social ranking,  $R = f(\rho)$  (so  $xPc$  for all  $x \neq c$ ).

**Step 2:** Now consider a profile of orderings  $\rho'$  which is the same as  $\rho$ , except that everyone now places  $c$  at the *top* (i.e. for all  $i \in N$  and all  $x, y \neq c$ ,  $cP'_i x$ ,  $cP'_i y$ , and  $xR'_i y$  if and only if  $xR_i y$ ). For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , define:

$$\rho^j = (R'_1, \dots, R'_j, R_{j+1}, \dots, R_n).$$

Note that  $\rho^0 = \rho$ , so  $c$  is at the bottom of  $f(\rho^0)$ , while  $\rho^n = \rho'$ , so  $c$  is at the top of  $f(\rho^n)$  (why?). Explain why, for every  $j = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $c$  is either at the bottom or at the top of  $f(\rho^j)$ . Let  $j^*$  be the smallest  $j$  such that  $c$  is at the top of  $f(\rho^j)$ .

**Step 3:** Take any distinct states  $a, b \neq c$ , and consider the ranking

$$\rho'' = (R'_1, \dots, R'_{j^*-1}, R''_{j^*}, R_{j^*+1}, \dots, R_n),$$

where  $R''_{j^*}$  is a ranking for  $j^*$  such that  $aP''_{j^*} c$  and  $cP''_{j^*} b$  (so  $aP''_{j^*} b$ ). Explain why we must have  $aP'' c$  and  $cP'' b$  (where  $P'' = f(\rho'')$ ). Infer that  $aP'' b$ .

**Step 4:** Now observe that, in our original choice of  $\rho$ , the individual rankings of  $a$  and  $b$  were arbitrary. Explain why, if we had started with a different profile of orderings also satisfying the condition on  $c$  specified in step 1, the procedure described above would have picked out the *same* agent  $j^*$ . Infer that whenever  $aP_{j^*} b$ , we have  $aPb$ . Note that this is true for *all* pairs of states  $a, b \neq c$ .

**Step 5:** Repeat the entire procedure starting with some alternative state  $d \neq c$  at the bottom of everyone's rankings. Explain why  $j^*$  must be a dictator.