# Lecture 33: Chapter 12, Section 2 Two Categorical Variables More About Chi-Square 

םHypotheses about Variables or Parameters口Computing Chi-square Statistic
םDetails of Chi-square Test
םConfounding Variables

## Looking Back: Review

- 4 Stages of Statistics
- Data Production (discussed in Lectures 1-4)
- Displaying and Summarizing (Lectures 5-12)
- Probability (discussed in Lectures 13-20)
- Statistical Inference
- 1 categorical (discussed in Lectures (21-23)
- 1 quantitative (discussed in Lectures (24-27)
- cat and quan: paired, 2-sample, several-sample (Lectures 28-31)
$\square \quad 2$ categorical
- 2 quantitative


## $H_{0}$ and $H_{a}$ for 2 Cat. Variables (Review)

- In terms of variables
- $H_{0}$ : two categorical variables are not related
- $H_{a}$ : two categorical variables are related
- In terms of parameters
- $H_{0}$ : population proportions in response of interest are equal for various explanatory groups
- $H_{a}$ population proportions in response of interest are not equal for various explanatory group
Word "not" appears in Ho about variables, Ha about parameters.


## Chi-Square Statistic

- Compute table of counts expected if $H_{0}$ true: each is

$$
\text { Expected }=\frac{\text { Column total } \times \text { Row total }}{\text { Table total }}
$$

- Same as counts for which proportions in response categories are equal for various explanatory groups
- Compute chi-square test statistic $\chi^{2}$

$$
\text { chi-square }=\text { sum of } \frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}
$$

## "Observed" and "Expected"

Expressions "observed" and "expected" commonly used for chi-square hypothesis tests.
More generally, "observed" is our sample statistic, "expected" is what happens on average in the population when $H_{0}$ is true, and there is no difference from claimed value, or no relationship.

| Variable(s) | Observed | Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Categorical | $\hat{p}$ | $p_{o}$ |
| 1 Quantitative | $\bar{x}$ | $\mu_{o}$ |
| 1 Cat \& 1 Quan | $\bar{x}_{d} \bar{x}_{2}$ | 0 |
|  | $\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}$ | 0 |
| 2 Categorical | Observed Counts | Expected Counts |

## Example: 2 Categorical Variables: Data

- Background: We're interested in the relationship between gender and lenswear.

|  | contacts | glasses | none | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| female | 121 | 32 | 129 | 282 |
|  | $42.91 \%$ | $11.35 \%$ | $45.74 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| male | 42 | 37 | 85 |  |
|  | $25.61 \%$ | $22.56 \%$ | $51.83 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| All |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69 | 214 | 446 |  |

- Question: What do data show about sample relationship?
- Response: Females wear contacts more ( $\qquad$ males wear glasses more ( vs. ); proportions with none are close ( vs. ).


## Example: Table of Expected Counts

$\square$ Background: We're interested in the relationship between gender and lenswear.

| Expected | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  | 282 <br> Male |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |

$\square$ Question: What counts are expected if gender and lenswear are not related?

- Response: Calculate each expected count as


## Example: "Eyeballing" Obs. and Exp. Tables

- Background: We're interested in the relationship between gender \& lenswear.

Chi-square procedure: Compare counts observed to counts expected if null hypothesis were true

| Observed | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 121 | 32 | 129 | 282 |
| Male | 42 | 37 | 85 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |


| Expected | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 103 | 44 | 135 | 282 |
| Male | 60 | 25 | 79 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |

- Question: Do observed and expected counts seem very different?
$\square$ Response:


## Example: Components for Comparison

- Background: Observed and expected tables:

| Observed | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 121 | 32 | 129 | 282 |
| Male | 42 | 37 | 85 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |


| Expected | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 103 | 44 | 135 | 282 |
| Male | 60 | 25 | 79 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |

$\square$ Question: What are the components of chi-square?

- Response: Calculate each


## Example: Components for Comparison

$\square$ Background: Components of chi-square are
$\frac{(121-103)^{2}}{103}=3.1$
$\frac{(32-44)^{2}}{44}=3.3$

$$
\frac{(129-135)^{2}}{135}=0.3
$$

$$
\frac{(42-60)^{2}}{60}=5.4
$$

$$
\frac{(37-25)^{2}}{25}=5.8
$$

$$
\frac{(85-79)^{2}}{79}=0.5
$$

- Questions: Which contribute most and least to the chi-square statistic? What is chi-square? Is it large?
$\square$ Responses:
$\square$ largest: most impact from smallest: least impact from


## Chi-Square Distribution (Review)

chi-square $=$ sum of $\frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected) })}{\text { expected }}^{2}$ follows predictable pattern known as chi-square distribution with $\mathrm{df}=(r-1) \times(c-1)$

- $r=$ number of rows (possible explanatory values)
- $c=$ number of columns (possible response values)


## Properties of chi-square:

- Non-negative (based on squares)
- Mean=df [=1 for smallest $(2 \times 2)$ table]
- Spread depends on df
- Skewed right


## Example: Chi-Square Degrees of Freedom

$\square$ Background: Table for gender and lenswear:

| Observed | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 121 | 32 | 129 | 282 |
| Male | 42 | 37 | 85 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |

$\square$ Question: How many degrees of freedom apply?

- Response: row variable (male or female) has $r=$, column variable (contacts, glasses, none) has $c=$. $\mathrm{df}=$

> A Closer Look: Degrees of freedom tell us how many unknowns can vary freely before the rest are "locked in."

## Chi-Square Density Curve

For chi-square with $2 \mathrm{df}, P\left(\chi^{2} \geq 6\right)=0.05$ $\rightarrow$ If $\chi^{2}$ is more than $6, P$-value is less than 0.05 .


Chi-square with 2 df (for 2-by-3 table)

## Example: Assessing Chi-Square

- Background: In testing for relationship between gender and lenswear in $2 \times 3$ table, found $\chi^{2}=18.4$.
- Question: Is there evidence of a relationship in general between gender and lenswear (not just in the sample)?
- Response: For $\mathrm{df}=(2-1) \times(3-1)=2$, chi-square is considered "large" if greater than 6. Is 18.6 large?

Is the $P$-value small?
Is there statistically significant evidence of a relationship between gender and lenswear?

## Example: Checking Assumptions

- Background: We produced table of expected counts below right:

| Observed | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 121 | 32 | 129 | 282 |
| Male | 42 | 37 | 85 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |


| Expected | Contacts | Glasses | None | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 103 | 44 | 135 | 282 |
| Male | 60 | 25 | 79 | 164 |
| Total | 163 | 69 | 214 | 446 |

- Question: Are samples large enough to guarantee the individual distributions to be approximately normal, so the sum of standardized components follows a $\chi^{2}$ distribution?
- Response:


## Example: Chi-Square with Software

- Background: Some subjects injected under arm with Botox, others with placebo. After a month, reported if sweating had decreased Expected counts are printed below observed counts

| Decreased |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| BotDecreased Total |  |  |  |
| Botox | 121 | 40 | 161 |
|  | 80.50 | 80.50 |  |
| Placebo | 40 | 121 | 161 |
|  | 80.50 | 80.50 |  |
| Total | 161 | 161 | 322 |
| Chi-Sq $=20.376+20.376+$ |  |  |  |
|  | $20.376+20.376=81.503$ |  |  |
| DF = 1, P-Value $=0.000$ |  |  |  |

- Question: What do we conclude?
- Response: Sample sizes large enough? Proportions with reduced sweating
$\rightarrow$ diff significant?
Conclude Botox reduces sweating?


## Guidelines for Use of Chi-Square (Review)

- Need random samples taken independently from two or more populations.
- Confounding variables should be separated out.
- Sample sizes must be large enough to offset nonnormality of distributions.
- Need populations at least 10 times sample sizes.


## Example: Confounding Variables

$\square$ Background: Students of all years: $\chi^{2}=13.6, p=0.000$

|  | On Campus | Off Campus | Total | Rate On Campus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undecided | 124 | 81 | 205 | $124 / 205=60 \%$ |
| Decided | 96 | 129 | 225 | $96 / 225=43 \%$ |

Underclassmen: $\chi^{2}=0.025, p=0.873$

| Underclassmen | On Campus | Off Campus | Total | Rate On Campus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undecided | 117 | 55 | 172 | $117 / 172=68 \%$ |
| Decided | 82 | 37 | 119 | $82 / 119=69 \%$ |

Upperclassmen: $\chi^{2}=1.26, p=0.262$

| Upperclassmen | On Campus | Off Campus | Total | Rate On Campus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undecided | 7 | 26 | 33 | $7 / 33=21 \%$ |
| Decided | 14 | 92 | 106 | $14 / 106=13 \%$ |

- Question: Are major (dec or not) and living situation related?
$\square$ Response:


## Lecture Summary

(Inference for Cat $\rightarrow$ Cat; More Chi-Square)

- Hypotheses about variables or parameters
$\square$ Computing chi-square statistic
- Observed and expected counts
- Chi-square test
- Calculations
- Degrees of freedom
- Chi-square density curve
- Checking assumptions
- Testing with software
$\square$ Confounding variables

