Lecture 27: Chapter 10, Sections 2-3 Inference for Quantitative Variable Hypothesis Test with *t*

Compare *z* and *t*; *t* Test with Software
How Large is "Large" *t*? *t* Test with Small *n*What Leads to Rejecting Ho; Errors, Multiple Tests
Relating Confidence Interval and Test Results

Looking Back: Review

4 Stages of Statistics

- Data Production (discussed in Lectures 1-4)
- Displaying and Summarizing (Lectures 5-12)
- Probability (discussed in Lectures 13-20)
- Statistical Inference
 - □ 1 categorical (discussed in Lectures 21-23)
 - □ 1 quantitative: z CI, z test, t CI, t test
 - □ categorical and quantitative
 - \square 2 categorical
 - \square 2 quantitative

Standardizing Sample Mean to *t* (*Review*)

- For random sample of size *n* from population with mean μ , standard deviation σ , sample mean \bar{X} has
- $\blacksquare \ \operatorname{mean} \mu_{\overline{\mu}}$
- s.d. $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ (may have to substitute *s* for σ)
- shape approximately normal for large enough n
- \rightarrow For σ unknown and n small, $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = t$
- t (like z) centered at 0, symmetric, bell-shaped
- *t* has *n*-1 df (spread depends on *n*)

Inference Based on z or t (Review)

By Hand	σ known	σ unknown
small sample ($n < 30$)	$\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = z$	$\frac{x-\mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = t$
large sample ($n \ge 30$)	$\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = z$	$rac{x-\mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}pprox z^{*}$



With software, simply use t if sigma is unknown.

Distribution of t is "heavy tailed" for small n.

Comparing *z* and *t* Distributions

How different are the *z* and *t* distributions?Unless *n* is very small, distributions are similar; cut-offs for various tail probabilities quite close.Compared values of *z* and *t* (df=18).

Example: t Test (with Software)

- **Background**: Random sample of shoe sizes for 9 college males: 11.5, 12.0, 11.0, 15.0, 11.5, 10.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0
- □ **Question:** Can we believe mean shoe size of all college males is 11?
- **Response:** Use software: enter values, specify proposed mean 11 and "not-equal" alternative.

One-Sample T: Shoe Test of mu = 11 vs mu not = 11 Variable Ν Mean StDev SE Mean 11.222 Shoe 9 1.698 0.566 95.0% CI Variable Ρ Т (9.917, 12.527) 0.39 0.705 Shoe Note: small sample is OK because shoe sizes are normal. Is *t* large? Is *P*-value small?

```
Believe population mean=11?
```

How Large is "Large" for t Statistic

Excerpts from *t* table \rightarrow

- □ May call values near 2 borderline for df>10
- □ May call values near 3 borderline for df< 5

Confidence Level

	90%	95%	98%	99%
z (infinite n)	1.645	1.960 or 2	2.326	2.576
t: df = 19 (n = 20)	1.73	2.09	2.54	2.86
t: df = 11 (n = 12)	1.80	2.20	2.72	3.11
t: df = 3 (n = 4)	2.35	3.18	4.54	5.84

Use of *t* with Very Small Samples

Can assume shape of \overline{X} for random samples of any size *n* is approximately normal if graph of sample data appears normal.

Normal population \rightarrow

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$
 is exactly *t*

Example: *t Test with Small n*

- **Background**: Random sample of 4 Math SATs (570, 580, 640, 760) have mean 637.5, s.d. 87.3.
- □ **Question:** Do they represent population with mean greater than 500? (Use cut-off alpha=0.05.)
- Response: n is small but t procedure is OK because SATs are normal:

One-Sample T: MathSAT

Test of mu = 500 vs mu > 500Variable Ν Mean StDev SE Mean 637.5 87.3 MathSAT 43.74 Variable 95.0% Lower Bound Т Ρ 3.15 MathSAT 0.026 534.7 P-value =

Using cutoff 0.05, small enough to reject H_0 ? _____ Conclude population mean > 500? _____

Example: *t Test with Small n, 2-Sided Alternative*

- Background: Random sample of 4 Math SATs (570, 580, 640, 760) have mean 637.5, s.d. 87.3.
- □ **Question:** Do they represent population with mean different from 500? (Use cut-off alpha=0.05.)
- **Response:** Now use \neq alternative:

One-Sample T: MathSAT Test of mu = 500 vs mu not = 500 Variable StDev SE Mean Ν Mean MathSAT 637.5 87.3 43.74 95.0% CI Variable Т Ρ 498.6, 776.4) 3.15 MathSAT (0.051 P-value =

Using cutoff 0.05, small enough to reject H_0 ? _____ Conclude population mean \neq 500? _____

A Closer Look: t near 3 can be considered borderline for very small n.

One-sided vs. Two-sided Results

- Tested $H_o: \mu = 500 \text{ vs. } H_a: \mu > 500$ P-value=0.026 \rightarrow rejected H_0
- Tested $H_o: \mu = 500$ vs. $H_a: \mu \neq 500$

P-value=0.051 \rightarrow did not reject H_0

Suspecting mean > 500 got us significance

Example: Concerns about 2-Sided Test

- **Background**: Random sample of 4 Math SATs (570, 580, 640, 760) have mean 637.5, s.d. 87.3. The *t* test failed to reject H_0 : μ =500 vs. 2-sided H_a because *P*-value=0.051.
- □ **Question:** Should we believe 500 is a plausible value for the population mean?
- **Response:** Several concerns:
 - If these were students admitted to university, should have used ">" alternative.
 - n=4 very small → vulnerable to Type____ Error
 - MUST we stick to 0.05 as cut-off for small *P*-value?
 - Maybe could have found out σ and done _____test instead.
 - Does μ =500 seem plausible when smallest value is 570?

Factors That Lead to Rejecting H_0

Statistically significant data produce *P*-value small enough to reject H_0 . *t* plays a role:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{s}$$

Reject H_0 if P-value small; if |t| large; if...

- Sample mean far from μ_O
- Sample size *n* large
- Standard deviation *s* small

Factors That Lead to Not Rejecting H_0

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{s}$$

Can't reject H_0 if P-value not small; if |t| not large; if...

Sample mean close to μ_0

Sample size *n* small

Standard deviation *s* large

Types I and II Error

- Small *n* can lead to Type II Error
 (Fail to reject false H₀) (Sampled only 4 SATs.)
- Multiple tests can lead to Type I Error (Reject true H_0)...

Example: Multiple Tests

- **Background**: Suppose all Verbal SATs have mean 500. Sample n=20 scores each in 100 schools, each time test H_o : $\mu = 500$ vs. H_a : $\mu < 500$.
- **Question:** If we reject H_0 in 4 of those schools, can we conclude that mean Verbal SAT in those 4 schools is significantly lower than 500?
- **Response:** If we set 0.05 as cut-off for small *P*-value then long-run probability of committing Type I Error (rejecting true H_0) is ____.

Even if all 100 schools actually have mean 500, by chance alone some samples will produce a sample mean low enough to reject H_0 ____% of the time.

Confidence Interval and Hypothesis Test Results

- Confidence Interval: range of plausible values
- Hypothesis Test: decides if a value is plausible
 Informally,
- If μ_o is in confidence interval, don't reject H_o : $\mu = \mu_o$
- If μ_o is outside confidence interval, reject H_o : $\mu = \mu_o$

Example: Relating Confidence Interval to Test

- **Background**: Consider these confidence intervals:
 - **95%** CI for pop mean earnings (3171, 4381)
 - 95% CI for pop mean shoe size (9.9, 12.5)
 - 95% CI for pop mean Math SAT (498.6, 776.4)
- **Question:** What to conclude about hypotheses...?
 - $H_o: \mu = 5000 \text{ vs. } H_a: \mu < 5000$
 - $H_o: \mu = 11$ vs. $H_a: \mu \neq 11$
 - $H_o: \mu = 500 \text{ vs. } H_a: \mu \neq 500$
- **Response:** Check if proposed mean is in interval:
 - Reject H_0 ?
 - Reject H_0 ?
 - Reject H_0 ?

Examples: *Reviewing z and t Tests (#1-#4)*

Background: Sample mean and standard deviation of amount students spent on textbooks in a semester is being used to test if the mean for all students exceeds \$500. The null hypothesis will be rejected if the *P*-value is less than 0.01.) We want to draw conclusions about mean credits taken by all students at a particular college.

Looking Back: If the sample is biased, or n is too small to guarantee \overline{X} to be approximately normal, neither z nor t is appropriate. Otherwise, use z if population standard deviation is known or n is large. Use t if population standard deviation is unknown and n is small.

Example: *Reviewing z and t Tests (#1)*

Background: Sample mean and s.d. of textbook costs are used to test if $\mu > 500$ (reject H_0 if *P*-value < 0.01). Refer to *z* (on left) or *t* for 8 df (on right) or neither.



- **Question:** What do we conclude if a **representative** sample of **9** students have t=+2.5? There is an **outlier** in the data set.
- **Response:**

Example: *Reviewing z and t Tests (#2)*

Background: Sample mean and s.d. of textbook costs are used to test if $\mu > 500$ (reject H_0 if *P*-value < 0.01). Refer to *z* (on left) or *t* for 8 df (on right) or neither.



Question: What do we conclude if a **representative** sample of **9** students have t=+2.5? The data set appears **normal**.



©2011 Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning H_0

Example: Reviewing z and t Tests (#3)

Background: Sample mean and s.d. of textbook costs are used to test if $\mu > 500$ (reject H_0 if *P*-value < 0.01). Refer to *z* (on left) or *t* for 8 df (on right) or neither.



- **Question:** What do we conclude if a **representative** sample of **90** students have t=+2.5? There is an outlier in the data set.
- **Response:**

Example: Reviewing z and t Tests (#4)

Background: Sample mean and s.d. of textbook costs are used to test if $\mu > 500$ (reject H_0 if P-value < 0.01). Refer to z (on left) or t for 8 df (on right) or neither.



- **Question:** What do we conclude if a sample of **90 biology majors** have t=+2.5? The data set appears **normal**.
- **Response:**

Lecture Summary

(Inference for Means: t Hypothesis Test)

- $\Box \quad \text{Comparing } z \text{ and } t \text{ distributions}$
- $\Box \quad t \text{ test with software}$
- □ How large is "large" *t*?
- □ *t* test with small *n* (one-sided or two-sided alternative)
- □ Factors that lead to rejecting null hypothesis
- □ Type I or II Error; multiple tests
- Relating confidence interval and test results
- Examples for review