## Lecture 32: Chapter 12, Sections 1-2 Two Categorical Variables Chi-Square

םFormulating Hypotheses to Test Relationship
口Test based on Proportions or on Counts
-Chi-square Test
םConfidence Intervals

## Looking Back: Review

## - 4 Stages of Statistics

- Data Production (discussed in Lectures 1-4)
- Displaying and Summarizing (Lectures 5-12)
- Probability (discussed in Lectures 13-20)
- Statistical Inference
$\square \quad 1$ categorical (discussed in Lectures 21-23)
- 1 quantitative (discussed in Lectures 24-27)
- cat and quan: paired, 2-sample, several-sample (Lectures 28-31)


## $\square 2$ categorical

- 2 quantitative


## Inference for Relationship (Review)

- $H_{0}$ and $H_{a}$ about variables: not related or related
- Applies to all three $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$
- $H_{0}$ and $H_{a}$ about parameters: equality or not
- $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}:$ pop means equal?
- $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}:$ pop proportions equal?
- $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ : pop slope equals zero?


## Example: 2 Categorical Variables: Hypotheses

- Background: We are interested in whether or not smoking plays a role in alcoholism.
- Question: How would $H_{0}$ and $H_{a}$ be written
- in terms of variables?
- in terms of parameters?
- Response:
- in terms of variables
- $H_{0}$ : smoking and alcoholism
- $H_{a}$ : smoking and alcoholism

The word "not" appears in Ho about variables, in Ha about parameters. related related

- in terms of parameters
$\square H_{0}$ : Pop proportions alcoholic
for smokers, non-smokers
- $H_{a}$ Pop. proportions alcoholic for smokers, non-smokers


## Example: Summarizing with Proportions

$\square$ Background: Research Question: Does smoking play a role in alcoholism?
$\square$ Question: What statistics from this table should we examine to answer the research question?
$\square$ Response: Compare proportions for

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Smoker | 30 | 200 | 230 |
| Nonsmoker | 10 | 760 | 770 |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 |

## Example: Test Statistic for Proportions

- Background: One approach to the question of whether smoking and alcoholism are related is to compare proportions.

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker | 30 | 200 | 230 | $\hat{p}_{1}=\frac{30}{230}=0.130$ |
| Nonsmoker | 10 | 760 | 770 | $\hat{p}_{2}=\frac{10}{770}=0.013$ |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 |  |

- Question: What would be the next step, if we've summarized the situation with the difference between sample proportions 0.130-0.013?
$\square$ Response: $\qquad$ the difference between sample proportions 0.130-0.013.
Stan. diff. is normal for large $n$ :


## $z$ Inference for 2 Proportions: Pros \& Cons

## Advantage:

Can test against one-sided alternative.
Disadvantage:
2-by-2 table: comparing proportions straightforward
Larger table: comparing proportions complicated,
can't just standardize one difference $\widehat{p}_{1}-\widehat{p}_{2}$

## Another Comparison in Considering Categorical

 Relationships (Review)- Instead of considering how different are the proportions in a two-way table, we may consider how different the counts are from what we'd expect if the "explanatory" and "response" variables were in fact unrelated.
- Compared observed, expected counts in wasp study:

| Obs | A | NA | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 16 | 15 | 31 |
| U | 24 | 7 | 31 |
| T | 40 | 22 | 62 |


| $\operatorname{Exp}$ | A | NA | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 20 | 11 | 31 |
| U | 20 | 11 | 31 |
| T | 40 | 22 | 62 |

## Inference Based on Counts

To test hypotheses about relationship in $r$-by-c table, compare counts observed to counts expected if $H_{0}$ (equal proportions in response of interest) were true.

## Example: Table of Expected Counts

- Background: Data on smoking and alcoholism:

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Smoker | 30 | 200 | 230 |
| Nonsmoker | 10 | 760 | 770 |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 |

$\square$ Question: What counts are expected if $H_{0}$ is true?

- Response: Overall proportion alcoholic is

If proportions alcoholic were same for $S$ and NS , expect

- $\quad(40 / 1,000)(230)=\quad$ smokers to be alcoholic
- $\quad(40 / 1,000)(770)=$ non-smokers to be alcoholic; also
- $\quad(960 / 1,000)(230)=\quad$ smokers not alcoholic
- $\quad(960 / 1,000)(770)=$ non-smokers not alcoholic


## Example: Table of Expected Counts

- Background: If proportions alcoholic were same for $S$ and NS, expect
- $\quad(40 / 1,000)(230)=9.2$ smokers to be alcoholic
- $\quad(40 / 1,000)(770)=30.8$ non-smokers to be alcoholic; also
- $\quad(960 / 1,000)(230)=220.8$ smokers not alcoholic
- $\quad(960 / 1,000)(770)=739.2$ non-smokers not alcoholic
- Question: Where do they appear in table of expected counts?

Response:

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total | Note: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker |  |  | 230 | 9.2/230 $=$ |
| Nonsmoker |  |  | 770 | 30.8/770 = |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 | 40/1,000 |

## Example: Table of Expected Counts

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Smoker | 9.2 | 220.8 | 230 |
| Non-smoker | 30.8 | 739.2 | 770 |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1000 |

$\square \quad$ Note: Each expected count is Column total $\times$ Row total Expect:

- $\quad(40)(230) / 1,000=9.2$ smokers to be alcoholic
- $\quad(40)(770) / 1,000=30.8$ non-smokers to be alcoholic; also
- $\quad(960)(230) / 1,000=220.8$ smokers not alcoholic
- $\quad(960)(770) / 1,000=739.2$ non-smokers not alcoholic


## Chi-Square Statistic

- Components to compare observed and expected counts, one table cell at a time:

$$
\text { component }=\frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected) })^{2}}{\text { expected }}
$$

Components are individual standardized squared differences.

- Chi-square test statistic $\chi^{2}$ combines all components by summing them up:

$$
\text { chi-square }=\text { sum of } \frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}
$$

Chi-square is sum of standardized squared differences.

## Example: Chi-Square Statistic

- Background: Observed and Expected Tables:

| Obs | A | NA | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 30 | 200 | 230 |
| NS | 10 | 760 | 770 |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1000 |


| Exp | A | NA | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 9.2 | 220.8 | 230 |
| NS | 30.8 | 739.2 | 770 |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1000 |

$\square \quad$ Question: What is the chi-square statistic?
$\square \quad$ Response: Find chi-square $=$ sum of $\frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}$

## Example: Assessing Chi-Square Statistic

- Background: We found chi-square $=64$.
- Question: Is the chi-square statistic (64) large?
$\square$ Response:


## Chi-Square Distribution

chi-square $=$ sum of $\frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected) })^{2}}{\text { expected }}$ follows a predictable pattern (assuming $H_{0}$ is true) known as
chi-square distribution with $\mathrm{df}=(r-1) \times(c-1)$

- $r=$ number of rows (possible explanatory values)
- $c=$ number of columns (possible response values) Properties of chi-square:
- Non-negative (based on squares)
- Mean=df [=1 for smallest $(2 \times 2)$ table]
- Spread depends on df
- Skewed right


## Chi-Square Density Curve

For chi-square with $1 \mathrm{df}, P\left(\chi^{2} \geq 3.84\right)=0.05$
$\rightarrow$ If $\chi^{2}>3.84, P$-value $<0.05$
Properties of chi-square:
Non-negative

- Mean = df $\mathrm{df}=1$ for smallest [ $2 \times 2$ ] table



## Example: Assessing Chi-Square (Continued)

- Background: In testing for relationship between smoking and alcoholism in $2 \times 2$ table, found $\chi^{2}=64$
- Question: Is there evidence of a relationship in general between smoking and alcoholism (not just in the sample)?
$\square$ Response: For df=(2-1)×(2-1)=1, chi-square considered "large" if greater than 3.84 $\rightarrow$ chi-square $=64$ large? $\quad P$-value small?
Evidence of a relationship between smoking and alcoholism?


## Inference for 2 Categorical Variables; $z$ or $\chi^{2}$

For $2 \times 2$ table, $z^{2}=\chi^{2}$

- $z$ statistic (comparing proportions) $\rightarrow$ combined tail probability $=0.05$ for $z=1.96$
- chi-square statistic (comparing counts) $\rightarrow$ right-tail prob $=0.05$ for $\chi^{2}=1.96{ }^{2}=3.84$


## Example: Relating Chi-Square \& $z$

- Background: We found chi-square $=64$ for the 2-by-2 table relating smoking and alcoholism.
$\square$ Question: What would be the $z$ statistic for a test comparing proportions alcoholic for smokers vs. non-smokers?
$\square$ Response:


## Assessing Size of Test Statistics (Summary)

When test statistic is "large":

- z: greater than 1.96 (about 2)
- $t$ : depends on df; greater than about 2 or 3
- $F$ : depends on DFG, DFE
- $\chi^{2}$ depends on $\mathrm{df}=(r-1) \times(c-1)$;
greater than 3.84 (about 4) if $\mathrm{df}=1$


## Explanatory/Response: 2 Categorical Variables

Roles impact what summaries to report Roles do not impact $\chi^{2}$ statistic or $P$-value

## Example: Summaries Impacted by Roles

- Background: Compared proportions alcoholic (resp) for smokers and non-smokers (expl).

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{p}_{1}=\frac{30}{200}=0.130 \\ & \widehat{p}_{2}=\frac{10}{770}=0.013 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker | 30 | 200 | 230 |  |
| Nonsmoker | 10 | 760 | 770 |  |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 |  |
| $\frac{30}{40}=0.75$ |  | $\frac{200}{960}=0.21$ |  |  |

- Question: What summaries would be appropriate if alcoholism is explanatory variable?
- Response: Compare proportions for
(expl).


## Example: Comparative Summaries

- Background: Calculated proportions for table:

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{p}_{1}=\frac{30}{230}=0.130 \\ & \hat{p}_{2}=\frac{10}{770}=0.013 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker | 30 | 200 | 230 |  |
| Nonsmoker | 10 | 760 | 770 |  |
| Total | 40 | 960 | 1,000 |  |
|  | 30 $=0.75$ | $\frac{200}{960}=0.21$ |  |  |

- Question: How can we express the higher risk of alcoholism for smokers and the higher risk of smoking for alcoholics?
- Response: Smokers are times as likely to be alcoholics compared to non-smokers. Alcoholics are times as likely to be smokers compared to non-alcoholics.


## Guidelines for Use of Chi-Square Procedure

- Need random samples taken independently from several populations.
- Confounding variables should be separated out.
- Sample sizes must be large enough to offset nonnormality of distributions.
- Need populations at least 10 times sample sizes.


## Rule of Thumb for Sample Size in Chi-Square

- Sample sizes must be large enough to offset nonnormality of distributions.
Require expected counts all at least 5 in $2 \times 2$ table (Requirement adjusted for larger tables.)

> Looking Back: Chi-square statistic follows chi-square distribution only if individual counts vary normally. Our requirement is extension of requirement for single categorical variables $n p \geq 10, n(1-p) \geq 10$ with 10 replaced by 5 because of summing several components.

## Example: Role of Sample Size

$\square$ Background: Suppose counts in smoking and alcohol two-way table were $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ the originals:

|  | Alcoholic | Not Alcoholic | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker | 3 | 20 | 23 |
| Nonsmoker | 1 | 76 | 77 |
| Total | 4 | 96 | 100 |

- Question: Find chi-square; what do we conclude?
$\square$ Response: Observed counts $1 / 10^{\text {th }} \rightarrow$ expected counts $1 / 10^{\text {th }} \rightarrow$ chi-square instead of 64 .
But the statistic does not follow $\chi^{2}$ distribution because expected counts ( $0.92,22.08,3.08,73.92$ ) are ; individual distributions are not normal.


## Confidence Intervals for 2 Categorical Variables

Evidence of relationship $\rightarrow$ to what extent does explanatory variable affect response?
Focus on proportions: 2 approaches

- Compare confidence intervals for population proportion in response of interest (one interval for each explanatory group)
- Set up confidence interval for difference between population proportions in response of interest, $1^{\text {st }}$ group minus $2^{\text {nd }}$ group


## Example: Confidence Intervals for 2 Proportions

- Background: Individual CI's are constructed:
- Non-smokers $95 \%$ CI for pop prop $p$ alcoholic $(0.005,0.021)$
- Smokers $95 \%$ CI for pop prop $p$ alcoholic ( $0.09,0.17$ )
$\square$ Question: What do the intervals suggest about relationship between smoking and alcoholism?
$\square$ Response: Overlap?
Relationship between smoking and alcoholism?



## Example: Difference between 2 Proportions (CI)

- Background: 95\% CI for difference between population proportions alcoholic, smokers minus non-smokers is ( $0.088,0.146$ )
- Question: What does the interval suggest about relationship between smoking and alcoholism?
- Response: Entire interval suggests smokers significantly more likely to be alcoholic $\rightarrow$ there $\qquad$ a relationship.



## Lecture Summary

## (Inference for Cat $\rightarrow$ Cat; Chi-Square)

$\square$ Hypotheses in terms of variables or parameters
$\square$ Inference based on proportions or counts
$\square$ Chi-square test

- Table of expected counts
- Chi-square statistic, chi-square distribution
- Relating $z$ and chi-square for $2 \times 2$ table
- Relative size of chi-square statistic
- Explanatory/response roles in chi-square test
$\square$ Guidelines for use of chi-square
$\square$ Role of sample size
$\square$ Confidence intervals for 2 categorical variables

