

March 25 Zoom

Thm. 4.4 (Hartshorne)

$$\varphi: X \dashrightarrow Y \quad \xleftarrow{|-|} \quad F: k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$$

dom. rat. maps k -alg. homo \underline{ism}

proof (\Rightarrow)

$$\langle \varphi_U, U \rangle \quad \varphi_U: U \xrightarrow{\text{morphism}} Y$$

$\varphi_U(U)$ is dense in Y

$$f \in k(Y) \Rightarrow f_V: V \xrightarrow{\text{reg.}} k$$

non-empty open

$$\langle f_V, V \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varphi_U^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & V & \xrightarrow{f} & k \\ & \searrow & \text{f} \circ \varphi_U & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

$\varphi_U \circ f \in k(X) \Rightarrow$ we have a well-def. k -alg. homo \underline{ism}

$$k(Y) \longrightarrow k(X) \quad f \longmapsto f \circ \varphi_U$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $F: k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$

Y can be covered with affine open subsets.

WLOG Y affine variety.

$k[Y]$ Coor ring gen. by y_1, \dots, y_n as k -alg.

$F(y_1), \dots, F(y_n)$ rat. function on X .

$\exists U \subset X$ $F(y_i)$ reg. functions on U , $\forall i$

$$F: k[Y] \hookrightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(U)}_{\substack{\text{ring of reg.} \\ \text{functions on } U}} \implies \varphi: U \longrightarrow Y$$

dominant
morphism

$\implies \varphi: X \dashrightarrow Y$ dom. rat. map.

Easy to check $\varphi \longmapsto F$ & $F \longmapsto \varphi$ are inv. of each other.

(Not necessary but for Convenience) 😊

Recall Assume k char. 0 \rightsquigarrow all ext. are separable

Thm (Primitive element thm.)

no irr. poly. has repeated roots

L finite ^{separable} ext. of K $\implies \exists \alpha \in L$ st. $L = K(\alpha)$.
& K infinite field

Moreover, if $L = K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \implies \alpha$ can be taken to be $d = c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_n\beta_n$, $c_i \in K$.

(proof relies on the lemma that a finite dim. vec. space over K is NOT a union of finitely many ^{proper} subspaces)

Prop. X irr. var. of $\leq r \implies X \cong H \subset \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$
bir.

$H = V(f)$ f irr. poly. in $k[x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}]$.

proof $K = k(X)$ field of rat. functions of X .

K/k f.g. field ext.

$\exists \underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_r \in K}_{\substack{\text{alg. ind. over } k \\ \text{tr. base}}} \quad K = \text{finite ext. of } \underbrace{k(x_1, \dots, x_r)}_{\substack{\text{field of frac. poly.} \\ \text{in } x_1, \dots, x_r}}$

$K = k(x_1, \dots, x_r)(y) \quad \exists y \in K \quad \text{P.E.T.}$

$\exists f(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_r, y}_{\text{variables}})$ irr. over $k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_r, y) = 0$$

$$\text{let } H = V(f) \subset \mathbb{A}^{r+1} \rightsquigarrow k[H] = k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y] / \langle f \rangle$$

$$k(H) = k(x_1, \dots, x_r, y) = K.$$

$$k(H) \cong k(X) \implies H \cong X.$$

as k -alg. bic.



$P \in X \xrightarrow{\text{affine, irr.}} \mathfrak{m} = \text{max. ideal in } \mathcal{O}_P = \text{local ring of } P.$
 $\dim k[X]$

$$\underbrace{\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2} = \dim \mathcal{O}_P}_{\text{def. of reg. local ring}} \iff P \text{ non-sing pt.}$$

$$\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2} \quad \text{vs.} \quad \min. \# \text{ of gen. of } \mathfrak{m}$$

(Atiyah-MacDonald Comm. alg. p. 121 Cor. 11.15)

Thm. A Noetherian local ring, \mathfrak{m} max ideal

$$\Rightarrow \sum_k \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \geq \dim A.$$

(Idea of proof: One shows $\dim A = \min. \#$ of gen. for an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, by induction & then one constructs an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal with $\dim A$ many gen.)

Prop. Milne p. 14 Cor. 1.4
A local ring, \mathfrak{m} max ideal.

$$\mathfrak{m} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \iff \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r \text{ in } \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

span $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ as k -vec. space.

Famous but obvious lemma!

Lemma (Nakayama lemma) A local ring ...

M f.g. A -module

(a) $M = \mathfrak{m}M \implies M = \{0\}.$

(b) If $M = N + \mathfrak{m}M$ for some sub-mod. $N \subset M$ then $M = N.$

proof (a) \implies (b) Apply (a) to M/N .

(a) let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ minimal set of A -mod. gen. of M
 $M = \mathfrak{m}M \implies e_1 = c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_r e_r \quad c_i \in \mathfrak{m}.$

$$\underbrace{e_1}_{\text{in } M} = \underbrace{c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_r e_r}_{\text{in } mM} \quad c_i \in m$$

$$0 = (1 - c_1)e_1 + \dots + c_r e_r$$

$1 - c_1$ is a unit $\Rightarrow e_1$ is a comb. of e_2, \dots, e_r

Contradiction with minimality of r .



proof of prop.

$(\Rightarrow) \quad m = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \Rightarrow \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r \text{ span } m/m^2.$

$$\forall c \in m \quad c = \sum_i c_i a_i \quad c_i \in A$$

$$\bar{c} = \sum_i \bar{c}_i \bar{a}_i \quad \bar{c}, \bar{a}_i \in m/m^2 \\ \bar{c}_i \in A/m = k.$$

$(\Leftarrow) \quad \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r \text{ span } m/m^2 \Rightarrow \underbrace{m}_{M} = \underbrace{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}_N + \underbrace{m^2}_{mM}$

Take $N = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \quad M = m$

$$\Rightarrow M = N \quad \text{😊}$$

Rem This prop. spanning set / basis for $m/m^2 = T_p^*X$
 \iff
 (minimal) gen. for m

is analogue of the following in diff. geo:

$p \in X = \text{manifold } / \mathbb{R} \text{ or } / \mathbb{C}$ $\dim X = r$

f_1, \dots, f_r diff. functions at p with $f_1(p) = \dots = f_r(p) = 0$

$\underbrace{df_1(p), \dots, df_r(p)}_{\text{vec. in } T_p^*X}$ are lin. ind. $\iff x \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x))$
 local chart /
 local coord.
 at p .

(Just Corollary of Inverse F. T.)

let \mathcal{O}_p reg. local ring.

Def. $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A = \mathcal{O}_p$ are a local system of para.

if $m = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Next time: we consider 1-dim. local rings.

- A is 1-dim. ^{reg.} local ring $\iff A$ is a discrete valuation ring
 (m is principal ideal)

I-Sec. 4 Hartshorne

Completion of a ring \rightsquigarrow many books in Comm. alg.
e.g. Atiyah-Mac.

$$(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{\mathfrak{m}})$$

top. on A \mathfrak{m} -adic top.

\rightsquigarrow gen. of p -adic top. on \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{Z}

\mathfrak{m}^i define a top. on A (basis of neighborhoods of $\underline{0}$)
 $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\hat{A} = \varprojlim A/\mathfrak{m}^i$$

formal power series

$$\text{Ex. } A = k[x_1, \dots, x_r] \Rightarrow \hat{A} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \\ \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \quad \hat{\mathfrak{m}} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

Thm (Cohen St. thm.) $P \in X$ irr. var.

$$A = \mathcal{O}_P$$

\bullet P is non-sing. / A is reg. local ring

$$\iff \hat{A} \cong k[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \quad r = \dim A \\ \downarrow \\ \text{as } k\text{-alg.}$$