## Q 12-6

Yes. Since the electrostatic potential is shown as contour map, the bigger apparent size of the atom indicates the higher electron charge around H and being an electron acceptor in the molecule (i.e. in LiH, H is electron acceptor and has oxidized Li ; hence it has a higher electron charge around and looks bigger).

## Q 12.8

AO $\rightarrow$ a one electron wave function for an atom
$\mathrm{MO} \rightarrow$ a one electron wave function that is generally expressed as a linear combination of atomic orbitals.
Molecular wave function $\rightarrow$ an $N$ electron wave function that is expressed in terms of molecular orbitals Basis set $\rightarrow$ the set of AOs used to construct the MOs
Minimal basis set $\rightarrow$ the smallest set of AOs for doing a calculation (generally core AOs plus occupied and unoccupied valence AOs)

## P 12.8

| MO | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ | bonding or <br> antibonding | image |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\sigma$ | bonding | f |
| 4 | $\sigma$ | antibonding | b |
| 5 | $\pi$ | bonding | c |
| 6 | $\sigma$ | bonding | a |
| 7 | $\pi$ | bonding | e |
| 8 | $\pi$ | antibonding | d |

Since the AO coefficients from $N$ and $O$ are not the same for MO 5 and 7 , their energies are different. These coefficients affect the size of $\pi$ lobe around each atom.

## P 12.14

Probabilities of finding the electron on the H and F atoms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{H}=\left(c_{11}\right)^{2}+c_{11} c_{21} S_{12}=0.34^{2}+0.34 \times 0.84 \times 0.30=0.201 \\
& p_{F}=\left(c_{11}\right)^{2}+c_{11} c_{21} S_{12}=0.84^{2}+0.34 \times 0.84 \times 0.30=0.791
\end{aligned}
$$

For calculating the dipole moment: ( z is the charge on the atom)

$$
\mu=e\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) r=1.609 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} \times 91.7 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} \times|0.791-0.201|=8.71 \times 10^{-30}=2.62 \mathrm{D}
$$

This result is relatively close to the experimental value.

BH 2 has 5 and NH2 has 7 valence electrons. The valence electron HOMO for BH 2 is $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ and for NH 2 is $1 b_{1}$. Hence the NH2 molecule adopts a more bent structure to lower the energy and BH2 molecule has the larger bond angle.

## P 6

Butadiene has a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry axis (the Huckel model does not distinguish between cis, trans or linear configurations).

$$
\Psi=c_{1} p_{1}+c_{2} p_{2}+c_{3} p_{3}+c_{4} p_{4}
$$

And:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha-E & \beta & 0 & 0 \\
\beta & \alpha-E & \beta & 0 \\
0 & \beta & \alpha-E & \beta \\
0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha-E
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

From SALCs (symmetry adapted linear combination) of atomic orbitals we can write:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_{1}+p_{4}\right), \quad \chi_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right), \quad \chi_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_{2}-p_{3}\right), \quad \chi_{4}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_{1}-p_{4}\right) \\
\Psi=c_{1} \chi_{1}+c_{2} \chi_{2}+c_{3} \chi_{3}+c_{4} \chi_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

With respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ operation, $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ are symmetric and $\chi_{3}$ and $\chi_{4}$ are asymmetric and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ vanish if $i$ and $j$ have different symmetry. The block diagonalized matrix then will be:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha-E & \beta & 0 & 0 \\
\beta & \alpha+\beta-E & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha-\beta-E & \beta \\
0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha-E
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

By solving the $2 \times 2$ determinants:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\alpha-E)(\alpha+\beta-E)-\beta^{2}=0 \Rightarrow E_{1}=\alpha+1.62 \beta, & E_{3}=\alpha-0.62 \beta \\
(\alpha-E)(\alpha-\beta-E)-\beta^{2}=0 \Rightarrow E_{2}=\alpha+0.62 \beta, & E_{4}=\alpha-1.62 \beta
\end{array}
$$

