

## Additional notes chapters 2 and 3

$\psi^*(x)\psi(x) \equiv$  probability of finding particle at  $x$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \psi dx = 1 \quad \leftarrow$  probability of finding particle somewhere

(assumes  $\psi$  normalized)

$\psi$  continuous, single valued  
 $\psi'$  continuous

---

Single measurement of an observable  $\hat{A}$  can only give an eigenvalue of  $\hat{A}$ .  
If  $\psi$  not an eigenfunction of  $\hat{A}$ , one can still calculate an average

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \frac{\int \psi \hat{A} \psi d\tau}{\int \psi \psi d\tau}$$

here  $\tau$  denotes the variable(s) being integrated over.

Consider the case where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are eigenfunctions of  $\hat{A}$

$$\hat{A}\phi_1 = a_1\phi_1$$

$$\hat{A}\phi_2 = a_2\phi_2$$

and suppose you have the wavefunction

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2}\phi_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\phi_2$$

$$\int \psi^* \psi d\tau = \frac{1}{4} \int (\phi_1\phi_1 + \underbrace{2\sqrt{3}\phi_1\phi_2}_{\downarrow 0} + 3\phi_2\phi_2) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} [1 + 3 = 1] \quad \text{So } \psi \text{ is normalized}$$

It has been assumed  
that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are real.

$$\int \psi^* \hat{A} \psi d\tau$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \int (\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}\phi_2) \hat{A} (\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}\phi_2) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int (\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}\phi_2) [a_1\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}a_2\phi_2] d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} [a_1 + 3a_2]$$

$\frac{1}{4}$  of measurements, get  $a_1$

$\frac{3}{4}$  of measurements, get  $a_2$

Now consider the example from the text of three superposition states

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4}\phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{4}\phi_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}\phi_3(x) \\ \psi_2(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{4}\phi_2(x) + \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4}\phi_3(x) \\ \psi_3(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\phi_1(x) + \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4}\phi_2(x) + \frac{1}{4}\phi_3(x)\end{aligned}$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi_1 \rightarrow a_1 \\ \phi_2 \rightarrow 4a_1 \\ \phi_3 \rightarrow 9a_1 \end{array} \right\} \text{ eigenvalues}$

All measurements give one of these three values. But probabilities differ, depending on whether one has  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ , or  $\psi_3$

---

To determine average, need to do measurements on many identical systems.

Cannot get the answer by doing multiple measurements on one system. Why?

Suppose you do a measurement and get  $a_1$  for the value of  $\hat{A}$ . Then all successive measurements give  $a_1$ .

But if you do measurements on a set of identically prepared systems, you will get the distribution.

In classical universe – measurement does not change system.

In QM systems, measurements change the system (if it is not in an eigenstate of the observable being measured).

---

Consider three wavefunctions that differ only in signs of contributions of eigenstates

$$\psi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4} \phi_1 + \frac{1}{4} \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi_3(x)$$

$$\psi_4 = \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4} \phi_1 - \frac{1}{4} \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi_3(x)$$

$$\psi_5 = \frac{\sqrt{11}}{4} \phi_1 - \frac{1}{4} \phi_2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi_3(x)$$

multiple measurements on each give same probabilities of  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$

Cannot fully determine a quantum mechanical wavefunction by measurements

Due to the fact it is  $\psi^* \psi$  that we can measure not  $\psi$ .

The order of operators can matter

$$\hat{x}\hat{p}_x \sin x = x \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \sin x$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} x \cos x$$

$$\hat{p}_x \hat{x} \sin x = \frac{\hbar}{i} [\sin x + x \cos x]$$

This is the origin of the uncertainty principle between  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}_x$ .

---

So the order matters

In classical world can know  $x$  and  $p_x$  precisely, and order of measurements does not matter.

What about  $\hat{p}_y \hat{x}$  and  $\hat{x} \hat{p}_y$  ?

Link to a java applet for two coupled pendula

<http://www.walter-fendt.de/ph14e/cpendula.htm>

Note that this system has two natural frequencies and can be prepared in either of these or in a superposition of the two natural motions.