



Later articles that are either reprints or revisions of an earlier article are listed chronologically by their years of publication

"See also" notes refer to Full Bibliography

Item Number	Item Description
[84]	(1964) "Popper on Irreversibility," in: M. Bunge (ed.), <i>The Critical Approach to Science and Philosophy, Essays in Honor of Karl R. Popper</i> , Book I. London: The Macmillan Co., pp. 316–331.
[146]	(1974) "Popper's Views on the Arrow of Time," in: P.A. Schilpp (ed.), <i>The Philosophy of Karl Popper</i> , Book II. Library of Living Philosophers, vol. XIV. La Salle, IL: The Open Court Publishing Co., pp. 775–797.
[151]	(1976) "Can a Theory Answer More Questions than One of Its Rivals?" <i>The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science</i> 27(1) (March): 1–23. See also [359].
[152]	(1976) "Is the Method of Bold Conjectures and Attempted Refutations <i>Justifiably</i> the Method of Science?" <i>The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science</i> 27(2) (June): 105–136.
[153]	(1976) "Is Falsifiability the Touchstone of Scientific Rationality? Karl Popper Versus Inductivism," in: R.S. Cohen, P.K. Feyerabend, and M.W. Wartofsky (eds.), <i>Essays in Memory of Imre Lakatos</i> . Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. XXXIX. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: D. Reidel Publishing Co., pp. 213–252. See also [195].
[157]	(1976) "Ad Hoc Auxiliary Hypotheses and Falsificationism," <i>The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science</i> 27(4) (December): 329–362.
[164]	(1977) "Is Psychoanalysis a Pseudo-Science? Karl Popper Versus Sigmund Freud, (Part I)" <i>Zeitschrift für Philosophische Forschung</i> 31(3) (July–September): 333–353. See [170] for the second installment of this article.
[170]	(1978) "Is Psychoanalysis a Pseudo-Science? (Part 2)," <i>Zeitschrift für Philosophische Forschung</i> 32(1) (January–March): 49–69. This is the second installment of "Is Psychoanalysis a Pseudo-Science? Karl Popper versus Sigmund Freud (Part 1)" in <i>Zeitschrift für Philosophische Forschung</i> (1977) [164].
[172]	(1978) "Popper versus Inductivism," in: G. Radnitzky and G. Andersson (eds.), <i>Progress and Rationality in Science</i> . Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. LVIII. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: D. Reidel Publishing Co., pp. 117–142. See also [182].
[177]	(1979) "Is Freudian Psychoanalytic Theory Pseudo-Scientific by Karl Popper's Criterion of Demarcation?" <i>American Philosophical Quarterly</i> 16(2) (April): 131–141. See also [196]
[182]	(1980) "Popper und der Induktivismus," in: G. Radnitzky and G. Andersson (eds.), <i>Fortschritt und Rationalität der Wissenschaft</i> . Tübingen, Germany: J.C.B. Mohr, pp. 129–156. This is a German translation of "Popper versus Inductivism" in <i>Progress and Rationality in Science</i> (1978) [172].
[241]	(1989) "The Degeneration of Popper's Theory of Demarcation," in: F. D'Agostino and I.C. Jarvie (eds.), <i>Freedom and Rationality, Essays in Honor of John Watkins</i> . Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. 117. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 141–161. See also [242], and [358].

Item Number	Item Description
[242]	(1989) “The Degeneration of Popper’s Theory of Demarcation,” <i>Epistemologia</i> XII(2) (July–December): 235–260. This is a reprint of the article in <i>Freedom and Rationality, Essays in Honor of John Watkins</i> (1989) [241]. See also [358].
[265]	(1992) “Agassi-Grünbaum Exchange on Popper and Psychoanalysis,” <i>Popper Newsletter</i> 4(1&2) (March): 12–14.
[283]	(1993) <i>Validation in the Clinical Theory of Psychoanalysis, A Study in the Philosophy of Psychoanalysis</i> . Madison, CT: International Universities Press, pp. 257–309. See also [223], [262], and [275].
[358]	(2004) “ The Degeneration of Popper’s Theory of Demarcation, ” in: A. O’Hear (ed.), <i>Karl Popper: Critical Assessments of Leading Philosophers</i> . Vol. II: Philosophy of Science 1, Part 3: Demarcation. London: Routledge: 392–411. This is a reprint of the article in <i>Epistemologia</i> (1989) [242]. See also [241].
[359]	(2004) “ Can a Theory Answer More Questions than One of Its Rivals? ” in: A. O’Hear (ed.), <i>Karl Popper: Critical Assessments of Leading Philosophers</i> . Vol. III: Philosophy of Science 2, Part 4: Verisimilitude. London, Routledge: 55–77. This is a reprint of the article in <i>The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science</i> (1976) [151]. See also [241] and [242].
[388]	(2008) “ Popper’s Fundamental Misdiagnosis of the Scientific Defects of Freudian Psychoanalysis, and of Their Bearing on the Theory of Demarcation, ” <i>Psychoanalytic Psychology</i> 25, no. 4, (October). Pp. 574-589. A slightly longer version appears in the spring of 2009. See [394].
[394]	(2009) “ Popper’s Fundamental Misdiagnosis of the Scientific Defects of Freudian Psychoanalysis, and of Their Bearing on the Theory of Demarcation, ” In <i>Rethinking Popper</i> , Springer (Dordrecht, Netherlands), the Proceedings of the "Rethinking Popper" Conference, held in Prague, Czech Republic, on Sept. 11, 2007 at the Institute of Philosophy, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. 272, Springer, pp. 117-134.
[396]	(2009) " Psychoanalyse Wissenschaft, Weltanschauung, Religion, " a German translation of “Psychoanalysis: Science, World-View, Religion,” which is the lead chapter in the opening section “Perspektiven der Wissenschaft” [Scientific Perspectives] in A. Leitner and H.G. Petzold (eds.) <i>Sigmund Freud in der Sicht der psychotherapeutischen Schulen: Gestern und Heute</i> [Sigmund Freud in the Light of Psychotherapeutic Schools – Yesterday and Today], Krammer Verlag: Vienna, Austria.
Forthcoming	5 Questions in Philosophy of Science , interview answers by A. Grünbaum in this collection edited by R.Rosenberger.