



INFSCI 2140: Information Storage and Retrieval

[Current as of: 12/8/15]

Spring 2016

Class time: Mondays 6:00pm – 8:50pm

Location: 403 IS Building

Instructor:

Daqing He, PhD

School of Information Sciences, University of Pittsburgh

Phone: 412-624-2477

E-mail: dah44@pitt.edu

Office: Room 618, Information Science Building

Office Hours: by appointment

Graduate Student Assistants:

Lead TA: Shuguang Han – E-mail: shh69@pitt.edu

Office hours: Fridays 3-5pm Room 707 Information Science Building

CourseWeb URL: <http://courseweb.pitt.edu>

I. Course Description:

This course offers an examination of problems and techniques related to storing and accessing unstructured information with an emphasis on textual information, an overview of several approaches to information access with a primary focus on search-based information access, an introduction to automated retrieval system design, content analysis, retrieval models, result presentation, and system evaluation, and applications of retrieval techniques to various issues on the Web, on mobile platforms and other reality settings.

Prerequisites: introduction to logic and statistical analysis, familiarity with a high-level programming language

Course Goals

Upon finishing this course, the students should be able to

- understand the dimensions of the information retrieval "problem";
- master the analysis and design of information retrieval systems;
- consider the factors which optimize the information retrieval process;
- examine current issues in information retrieval

Upon satisfactory completion of this course, students will:

- be able to explain core concepts and terms of information retrieval

- be able to explain different retrieval models and basic algorithms
- be able to evaluate existing information retrieval systems and suggest how the systems can be improved
- be able to apply theories to effectively solve information retrieval problems in real world situations

II. CourseWeb Information:

CourseWeb is a Web-based system using BlackBoard software that facilitates course-related communication as well as distribution of course materials and grades. You can access CourseWeb at <http://courseweb.pitt.edu>. You must log in with your University Computer Account – this is the one that goes with your ‘pitt.edu’ e-mail address. If you do not have a Pitt account, please contact Computing Services (CSSD) at 412-624-HELP [4357] to find out how to get one. Course-related e-mail will be sent to your Pitt e-mail account. If you do not read e-mail on your Pitt account, you are responsible for forwarding any e-mail received on your Pitt account to the e-mail address that you use. See <http://accounts.pitt.edu/> for information on managing your Pitt account and forwarding e-mail. If you have trouble logging in to CourseWeb, you may need to log in to the accounts website above to activate your Pitt e-mail account. Call 412-624-HELP with any problems relating to your account.

III. Recommended books and Readings

There is no required textbook for this class. However, various parts of the following books will be used in the class:

1. Christopher D. Manning, Prabhakar Raghavan, Hinrich Schutze, “Introduction to Information Retrieval”. Cambridge University Press. 2008. Available at <http://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/>. Referred as “IIR” subsequently.
2. Stefan Büttcher, Charles L. A. Clarke, Gordon V. Cormack, “Information Retrieval: Implementing and Evaluating Search Engines.” MIT Press. 2010. Sample chapters are available at <http://www.ir.uwaterloo.ca/book/>. Referred as “IES” subsequently.
3. Ricardo Baeza-Yates, Berthier Riberiro-Neto, “Modern Information Retrieval”, 2nd Edition. Addison Wesley, 2011. ISBN-10: 9780321416919. <http://www.mir2ed.org/>. Referred as “MIR” subsequently.
4. Richard K. Belew, “Finding Out About: A Cognitive Perspective on Search Engine Technology and the WWW”, Cambridge University Press, 2000. Referred as “FOA” subsequently.

There will be about 3-4 required readings each week. You will be asked to submit a short reading note each week before the class in the blog space you created for this course in blogger.com. The note is informal in style – even bulleted lists can be used when appropriate, however, the response should clearly indicate the context, including the part of the text that triggered your questions. Do not summarize the readings. Instead, discuss your thoughts, ideas, and questions related to them. The note for each week’s readings should be submitted by 11:59pm of the Friday before the class. As described below, 10 responses are required as part of your final grade, each of which counts for .5 participation point.

Readings will generally be available online or via CourseWeb (if available in electronic format). Additional readings may be added as needed.

IV. Course Schedule Summary

Date	Unit and Readings	Assignment and Others
Jan. 11	1: introduction and course overview Readings 1. FOA section 1.1 (available at http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/~rik/FOA/) 2. IES section 1.1 and 1.2 (available at http://www.ir.uwaterloo.ca/book/01-introduction.pdf) 3. MIR sections 1.1-1.4 (available at http://www.mir2ed.org/ , the content section at the left side. Chapter 1)	Assignment 1 Out
Jan. 18	Martin Luther King Day (University Closed)	
Jan. 25	2: document and query processing Readings 1. IIR sections 1.2, chapters 2 and 3. <i>OR MIR chapter 4 and section 7.2</i>	<i>Team Project Introduction</i>
Feb. 1	3: index construction and compression Readings: 1. IIR chapters 4, and 5. <i>OR MIR section 7.4 and chapter 8</i>	Assignment 2 Out Assignment 1 Due
Feb. 8	4: matching models: Boolean and vector space 1. IIR sections 1.3 and 1.4, chapter 6. <i>OR MIR 2.1-2.5.3</i>	<i>Team Formation Deadline</i>
Feb. 15	5: matching models: probabilistic and language model Readings: 1. IIR chapter 12. <i>OR MIR 2.5.4</i> 2. Djoerd Hiemstra and Arjen de Vries. (2000) Relating the New Language Models of Information Retrieval to the Traditional Retrieval Models. Technical Report, TR-CTIT-00-09, Centre for Telematics and Information Technology. http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/299514.html	
Feb. 22	6: evaluation	Assignment 3 Out

	<p>Readings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. IIR chapter 8. <i>OR MIR 3</i> 2. Karen Sparck Jones, (2006). What's the value of TREC: is there a gap to jump or a chasm to bridge? ACM SIGIR Forum, Volume 40 Issue 1 June 2006 http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1147197.1147198 3. Kalervo Järvelin, Jaana Kekäläinen. (2002) Cumulated gain-based evaluation of IR techniques ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS) Volume 20 , Issue 4 (October 2002) Pages: 422 – 446 http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/582415.582418 	Assignment 2 Due
Feb. 29	<p>7: relevance feedback and query expansion</p> <p>Readings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. IIR chapter 9. <i>OR MIR chapter 5</i> 2. Xu, J. and Croft, W. B. (2000). Improving the effectiveness of information retrieval with local context analysis. <i>ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.</i> 18, 1 (Jan. 2000), 79-112. (DOI=http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/333135.333138) 3. Wang, X., Fang, H., and Zhai, C. (2008). A study of methods for negative relevance feedback. In <i>Proceedings of the 31st Annual international ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in information Retrieval</i> (Singapore, Singapore, July 20 - 24, 2008). SIGIR '08. ACM, New York, NY, 219-226. (DOI=http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1390334.1390374) 4. Donna Harman, (1992). Relevance feedback revisited. Proceedings of the 15th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval. Pages: 1 - 10. Copenhagen, Denmark. 1992. (http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/133160.133167) 	Assignment 4 Out
Mar. 7	Spring Break	
Mar. 14	TA session (feedback to Assignments)	Assignment 3 Due
Mar. 21	8: midterm	Term Project Poster (initial version) Due
Mar. 28	<p>9: user interaction and interactive information retrieval</p> <p>Readings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MIR chapter 10 (available at http://people.ischool.berkeley.edu/~hearst/irbook/) 2. Marti A. Hearst. Ch. 1: The Design Of Search User Interfaces. Search User Interfaces. (http://searchuserinterfaces.com/book/sui_ch1_design.html) <p>Marti A. Hearst. Ch. 11: Information Visualization For Text Analysis. Search User Interfaces.</p>	

	http://searchuserinterfaces.com/book/sui_ch11_text_analysis_visualization.html	
Apr. 4	<p>10. Web information retrieval</p> <p>Readings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. IIR chapters 19 and 21. <i>OR MIR chapter 13</i> 2. Check Information retrieval Ayse book 3. J. Kleinberg. (1998) Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment. Proc. 9th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 1998. www.cs.cornell.edu/home/kleinber/auth.pdf 4. S. Brin, L. Page: (1998) The Anatomy of a Large-Scale Hypertextual Web Search Engine. WWW7 / Computer Networks 30(1-7): 107-117 (1998) http://dbpubs.stanford.edu:8090/pub/1998-8 	Assignment 4 Due
Apr. 11	<p>11 intelligent information retrieval</p> <p>Readings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Susan Gauch, Mirco Speretta, Aravind Chandramouli and Alessandro Micarelli. User Profiles for Personalized Information Access. Chapter 2 in Brusilovsky, P., Kobsa, A., Neidl, W. (eds.) (2007) <i>The Adaptive Web: Methods and Strategies of Web Personalization</i>. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 4321. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York. 2. Michael J. Pazzani and Daniel Billsus. Content-Based Recommendation Systems. Chapter 10 of Brusilovsky, P., Kobsa, A., Neidl, W. (eds.) (2007) <i>The Adaptive Web: Methods and Strategies of Web Personalization</i>. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 4321. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York. 3. Ahn, J., Brusilovsky, P., He, D., Grady, J., and Li, Q. (2008). Personalized web exploration with task models. In <i>Proceeding of the 17th international Conference on World Wide Web (Beijing, China, April 21 - 25, 2008)</i>. WWW '08. ACM, New York, NY, 1-10. DOI=http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1367497.1367499. 	
Apr. 18	<p>13. new fronts in information retrieval</p> <p>Readings: IIR chapters 13, 14, 16 and 17</p>	
Apr. 25	14: <i>Team Project Final Presentation</i>	<i>Term Project Poster (final) Due</i>

V. Assessment

Participation 12%

Class attendance is required for success in this course, as material will be covered in class that is not included in the readings. Participation is based on active participation to each week's "my reading notes" before the class and "my muddiest points" after the class. The detail of assessing contribution to "my reading notes" is stated in section III. Your muddiest points should be posted into the same blog you created in blogger.com for this course. Just list any questions regarding the issues covered during the class. Again, 10 responses to the muddiest points are required as part of your final grade, each of which counts .5 participation point.

The instructor would collect class attendance during the semester, and full attendance would receive 2%

If you must miss a class, please notify the teaching assistant, and make arrangements to obtain course notes and handouts. Makeup exams will not be offered except under extreme circumstances.

Assignment 32%

There are total four assignments, each of which will count 8% in the final course score. The deadline of submitting each assignment is before noon of the due date. That is you should submit the assignment before leaving for this class. Each 24 hours delay will have 40% deduction of the maximal score. No submission later than 2 days will be accepted except in the case of emergencies and personal disasters.

Exam 28%

The exam will last 90 minutes, and covers all the topics taught in the weeks before the exam date. Common exam questions include multiple choices, short definitions, and discussion questions.

Term Project 28%

Please see section VI for detail description of term project.

Course Grading Scale:

The final grade depends on the percentage of points you have earned, and the definition of letter grades is:

- 90 <= A- < 93, 93 <= A < 98, 98 <= A+ <= 100
- 80 <= B- < 83, 83 <= B < 88, 88 <= B+ < 90
- 70 <= C- < 73, 73 <= C < 78, 78 <= C+ < 80
- 60 <= D < 70,
- F < 60

VI. Term Projects

Introduction:

The term project is designed for students to integrate and extend knowledge acquired throughout the course and to apply that knowledge to solve a problem of substantial scope. Students are required to work in groups of 3 people. Experience suggests that successful teams require expertise in design, implementation, and project management.

Your task is to design and develop a prototype retrieval system, using online APIs, Open Source software (e.g., Lucene, Lemur/Indri, etc) or Amazon Web Services. Your team will bid for one of the projects that proposed by faculty and students in SIS.

If a collection is needed to compose for the project, to realistically demonstrate the usefulness of the retrieval systems, the collection should contain at least 50- 60 documents.

Milestones for the project:

Introduction of term project:	January 25
Team formation deadline:	February 8
Final project poster and presentation:	April 25
Project Demo:	April 25 - 30

VII. Course Policies

Ground rules for class discussion

On-class interaction and discussion will be an important means of learning in this course, therefore, it is important that we work together to create a constructive environment by observing these rules:

- You should participate in the discussion of ideas.
- You should respect diverse points of view.
- You should aware the diverse backgrounds of peers.
- You may not belittle or personally criticize another individual for holding a point of view different than your own
- Your use of language should be respectful of other individuals or groups

Plagiarism

It is expected that the work you submit in this course will be your own. While collaboration is allowed for the course project, it should be approved in advance and the nature of each contribution should be specified in the project proposal and the final submission.

The following statement is taken from *The Teaching Assistant Experience: A Handbook for Teaching Assistants and Teaching Fellows at the University of Pittsburgh* (A.P. Haley and J.M. Nicoll, eds.)]

Plagiarism means submitting work as your own that is someone else's. For example, copying material from a book or other source without acknowledging that the works or ideas are someone else's and not your own is plagiarism. If you copy an author's words exactly, treat the passage as a direct quotation and supply the appropriate citation. If you use someone else's ideas, even if you paraphrase the wording, appropriate credit should be given. You have committed plagiarism if you purchase a term paper or submit a paper as your own that you did not write¹.

Plagiarism is a violation of the University of Pittsburgh's standards on academic honesty, and violations of this policy are taken seriously. **From the *Guidelines on Academic Integrity: Student and Faculty Obligations and Hearing Procedures* (effective September, 1995):**

A student has an obligation to exhibit honesty, and to respect the ethical standards of the historical profession in carrying out his or her academic assignments. Without limiting the application of this principle, a student may be found to have violated this obligation if he or she:

- Presents as one's own, for academic evaluation, the ideas, representations, or words of another person or persons without customary and proper acknowledgment of sources.
- Submits the work of another person in a manner which represents the work to be one's own. [Quotation ellipsed.]²

Special Needs

Students with disabilities who require special accommodations or other classroom modifications should notify the instructor and the University's Office of Disability Resources & Services (DRS) no later than the 2nd week of the term. Students may be asked to provide documentation of their disability to determine the appropriateness of the request. DRS is located in 216 William Pitt Union and can be contacted at 648-7890 (Voice), 624-3346(Fax), and 383-7355(TTY). Students who must miss an exam or class due to religious observances must notify the instructor ahead of time and make alternative arrangements.

¹ B. G. Davis, *Tools for Teaching* (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1993), 300.

² University of Pittsburgh, *Guidelines on Academic Integrity: Student and Faculty Obligations and Hearing Procedures* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 1995), 7-8.