



Figure 2. Comparison between AcGNMe, AcANMe, triglycine (G_3), and trialanine (A_3) measured in a 80%/20% D_2O/H_2O mixture (solid lines) and calculated spectra (dots, see text for details). Also shown are spectra in pure D_2O (triangles, scaled to 80% of their original intensities). The calculated spectra model the measured spectra in the D_2O/H_2O mixture almost perfectly.

The RR spectra of G_3 and A_3 at neutral pH show additional strong bands at $\sim 1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ from enhancement of symmetric COO^- stretching by a charge-transfer band.^{15,16} G_3 also shows overlapped AmIII and AmII bands at 1274 and 1560 cm^{-1} . In contrast, AmI is broad, suggesting a frequency difference between the two linked AmI vibrations. In G_3D the strong AmII' band occurs at 1485 cm^{-1} , while the AmI' region remains broad. The shoulder at $\sim 1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ derives from COO^- symmetric stretching.

The A_3 spectrum shows AmIII, AmII, and AmI bands at 1265, 1560, and $\sim 1660\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The 1335 cm^{-1} band does not occur in A_3D . We assign this band to a mixture of $C_\alpha H$ bending, CN stretching, and CO in-plane bending of the central amide bond.¹⁷ Deuteration eliminates the CN contribution and thus the resonance enhancement. The 1370 cm^{-1} band is due to N-terminal $C_\alpha H$ bending.^{12,13}

The spectrum of A_3D is dominated by a doublet at 1450 and 1480 cm^{-1} , while the AmII' occurs at 1660 cm^{-1} . Lee and Krimm's¹² deuterated α -poly(L-alanine) study indicates strong mixing between C–N stretching and CH_3 asymmetric bending, which leads to the observed doublet. The strong enhancement results from the C–N stretching contribution to the vibration.^{12,13}

Figure 2 compares measured spectra of AcGNMe, AcANMe, G_3 , and A_3 in a 20%/80% H_2O/D_2O solution to modeled spectra. These modeled spectra are calculated as the sum of the derivatives in pure H_2O and D_2O . In 20%/80% H_2O/D_2O solution, 64% will have both amides deuterated at the NH, while 32% will have only one NH deuterated, while 4% have both NH deuterated. The overlap between the calculated and measured spectra demonstrates the lack of coupling between adjacent amides.

(15) Chen, X. G.; Li, P.; Holtz, J. S. W.; Chi, Z.; Pajcini, V.; Asher, S. A.; Kelly, L. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9705–9715.

(16) Pajcini, V.; Chen, X. G.; Borrett, R. W.; Geib, S. J.; Li, P.; Asher, S. A.; Lidiak, E. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9716–9726.

(17) Han, W.-G.; Jalkanen, K. J.; Elstner, M.; Suhai, S. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 2587–2602.

The magnitude of vibrational coupling can depend sensitively on the detailed molecular geometry. For example, normal mode calculations for three different geometries of gas-phase alanine dipeptide demonstrated different couplings for different geometries.^{12b} For example, no AmI coupling occurred where the carbonyls were perpendicular to one another. The AmII and AmIII coupling also depended on geometry. Thus, one interpretation of our results is that the four derivatives studied here possess geometries *in water* where fortuitously no interpeptide coupling occurs for the AmII, AmII', and AmIII bands.

This finding is in accord with a recent normal coordinate calculation on AcANMe by Han et al.¹⁷ They investigated AcANMe-(H_2O)₄ within an Onsager continuum and found that in water the molecule prefers a PII structure, with dihedral angles in the β -sheet region of the Ramachandran plot. An α -helical-like conformation was found at 2.5 kcal/mol higher energy. The normal mode calculation for PII reveals localized amide III and II modes with slightly different frequencies for the two peptide groups. In contrast, AmI shows significant mixing.

Alternatively, these amide derivatives in water may populate numerous conformations, some of which have Ramachandran Ψ , ϕ angles similar to α -helix and β -sheet conformations. This may occur despite significant conformational energy differences, due to compensating volume and entropy differences. Thus, our results may indicate that AmI and AmIII vibrations observed in α -helical, β -sheet, and disordered peptides are also uncoupled.

Our result, that AmII and AmIII are localized within each amide, does not conflict with recent IR absorption observations that AmI vibrations couple over 3–4 peptide bonds.¹⁸ As discussed above, the large AmI dipole moment allows it to interact through transition dipole coupling. Further, a normal mode study of the Ala 7A derivative shows a case where the AmI and AmIII couple while the AmII is not coupled.^{12b}

If amide groups independently contribute, then the spectra would simply be the sum of spectra of the individual peptides. The AmIII, AmII, and $C_\alpha H$ Raman spectra of the different secondary structure motifs are thought to depend mainly on the Ψ and ϕ angles of the amide bond.^{19,20} Thus, the RR spectra would be related to the number of amides in each secondary structure. Thus, the observed RR spectra should be more linear in the number of " α -helix" conformation residues than CD, which appears to require a certain persistence length to give rise to α -helical CD spectra.²¹ Thus, RR would find more α -helix content than does CD, which is consistent with our recent observations.^{7,8}

It is, however, possible that amide RR undercounts the number of " α -helix" peptide bonds, since excitonic interactions that lead to hypochromism²² decrease RR cross sections. Thus, the RR intensities of " α -helix" amide bonds in long runs would decrease compared to shorter runs. Thus, the biases for CD and RR may be diametrically opposed. CD selects against short runs, and RR selects against large runs.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. A. Ianoul and Professor S. Krimm from the University of Michigan for helpful discussions. We acknowledge NIH grant GM30741 for financial support. R.S.S. acknowledges support from the German Science Foundation (Schw 398/15-1) and a NATO Collaborative Research Grant (960030).

Note Added after ASAP: The uncorrected version of this paper was inadvertently posted ASAP August 1, 2000; the corrected version was posted August 29, 2000.

JA0004783

(18) Hamm, P.; Lim, M.; Hochstrasser, R. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 6123–6138.

(19) Lord, R. C. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **1977**, *31*, 187–194.

(20) Peticolas, W. L.; Cutrera, T.; Rodgers, G. R. *Proc. Intern. Symp. Biomol. Struct.* **1981**, *2*, 45–58.

(21) (a) Dukor, R. K.; Keiderling, T. A. *Biopolymers* **1991**, *31*, 1747–1761. (b) Qian, H.; York, E. J.; Stewart, J. M.; Baldwin, R. L. *Biopolymers* **1991**, *31*, 1463–1470.

(22) Cantor, C. R.; Schimmel, P. R. *Biophysical Chemistry, Part II*; W. H. Freeman and Co.: San Francisco, 1980.