Ultraviolet resonance Raman study of the pyrene $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_2$ excited electronic states
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UV resonance Raman excitation within the $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4 \pi \to \pi^*$ electronic transitions of pyrene results in strong enhancement of totally symmetric ring vibrations. The Raman modes most strongly enhanced within these electronic transitions derive from vibrations exhibiting Franck-Condon vibronic structure in the absorption spectrum. The 1597 cm$^{-1}$ ($b_{3g}$) mode shows selective enhancement between the $S_3$ and $S_4$ transitions, and between the $S_2$ and $S_3$ transitions due to Herzberg-Teller coupling between these symmetry-allowed states. The experimentally observed $S_4$ resonance Raman excitation profiles of the totally symmetric pyrene fundamentals agree closely with those calculated using resonance Raman transform theory if non-Condon contributions are included. We see an increased non-Condon contribution with an increasing vibrational frequency, indicating nonadiabatic vibronic interactions. The high incident energy fluxes of the Nd-YAG laser-based excitation source cause saturation of the pyrene Raman intensities since molecular absorption depletes the ground state population. The long-lived $S_3$ singlet excited state bottlenecks relaxation back to the ground electronic state. Formation of pyrene phototransients is also observed with high incident energy fluxes at particular excitation wavelengths.

INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been great interest in the use of theoretical methods to predict Raman excitation profile (RREP) band shapes in order to derive information on excited state potential energy surfaces. Major interest has centered on use of the recently developed resonance Raman transform theory to relate the RREP to the observed absorption spectrum. The transform theory relies on the multimode information contained in the absorption spectrum to link, via the optical theorem, absorption to Raman scattering. Quantitative excited state parameters can be obtained by fitting observed Raman cross section excitation profiles to the theoretically predicted excitation profiles. This approach has successfully predicted the resonance Raman excitation profiles of totally symmetric Raman-active vibrational modes of biologically important molecules such as $\beta$-carotene, the Soret-enhanced porphyrin vibrations of the heme protein cytochrome $c$, and the ring vibrations enhanced within the $S_1$ electronic transition of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, azulene.$^{1(a)-1(c),6,8}$ These excitation profile studies were used to test the applicability of transform theory, to study excited molecular electronic states, and to refine and extend the transform formalism.

We report here a comprehensive UV Raman excitation profile investigation of the $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4 \pi \to \pi^*$ electronic transitions of pyrene. The objectives of this study are to increase the understanding of the optical transitions of this large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, to examine the coupling of resonantly enhanced vibrational modes to pyrene's various excited states, and to provide a further experimental test of the transform theory formalism. These intense pyrene electronic transitions should uniquely fulfill the basic assumptions of the transform theory. The $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4$ electronic transitions show strong Franck-Condon structure, indicating that the Raman intensities of the totally symmetric Raman-active modes derive from Raman $A$-term scattering. Further, the spacing between the transitions is large compared to their bandwidths.

The recent development of UV Raman instrumentation now permits investigation of higher excited states of aromatic molecules. Despite the wealth of information that exists on the electronic and vibrational structure of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), little detailed experimental information exists for transitions above the first singlet and triplet states. We have already reported on UV resonance Raman spectroscopic studies of various PAHs in complex matrices such as coal liquids and rat liver microsomes with excitation into high-lying PAH excited electronic states.$^{9-11}$ Generally, PAH $\pi \to \pi^*$ transitions above $S_1$ show high oscillator strengths, and large resonance Raman enhancements occur for those totally symmetric vibrational modes coupled to these strong dipole-allowed transitions. Raman excitation profiles, which monitor the frequency dependence of the cross sections of Raman-active vibrational modes, provides information on the ground state and excited state geometry as well as information on the coupling of vibrations to the resonant excited electronic potential energy surface.$^{12}$

As part of this RREP study we also characterized various pyrene photophysical processes which occur with UV excitation. This follows our recent studies of the effects of high incident pulsed energy fluxes upon Raman saturation, and upon the formation of photochemical and excited state intermediates for tyrosinate and pyrene with excitation within their $S_2(L_0)$ and $S_4(B_{1u}) \pi \to \pi^*$ transitions, respectively.$^{13}$ We demonstrate here methods for correcting the
measured Raman intensities for saturation in order to quantitatively determine excitation profile Raman cross sections. We compare the experimental Raman excitation profiles to excitation profiles calculated using transform theory.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Solutions of pyrene, (Chem Service Inc., West Chester, PA; 99% purity) at concentrations of $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-4}$ M were dissolved in spectral grade acetonitrile (Burdick and Jackson) and were recirculated through a Suprasil quartz capillary (1.0 mm inner diameter). The frequency doubled output of a Q-switched Quanta-Ray DCR-2A Nd:YAG laser operated at 20 Hz was used to pump a dye laser. The dye laser output was either frequency doubled or doubled and mixed with the 1064 nm YAG fundamental to obtain the 220–340 nm excitations (6 ns pulse widths) used in this study. A 90° scattering geometry was employed and Raman scattered light was imaged onto the slit of a modified Spex Triplemate monochromator by an ellipsoidal mirror. A crystalline quartz polarizing wedge was used to eliminate the polarization bias of the monochromator. The 1200 groove/mm grating used in the spectrograph stage of the Triplemate gave a spectral resolution $\sim 12$ cm$^{-1}$ at 240 nm and $\sim 8$ cm$^{-1}$ at 300 nm. The Raman scattered light was detected by using an EG&G PAR model 1420 blue-intensified Reticon detector interfaced to a PAR OMA II console. This UV Raman spectrometer is described in detail elsewhere. Typical accumulation times were 15 min or less. For the excitation profile data, laser energy fluxes at the sample were maintained below levels where photochemistry or phototransient formation occurs by using soft focusing (estimated beam diameter $>500$ µm at the sample) and low incident laser pulse energies ($<0.15$ mJ/pulse).

The "unsaturated" pyrene cross sections were obtained by utilizing the procedure demonstrated earlier which monitors the dependence upon the incident laser energy flux of the relative intensities of the analyte bands to the internal standard band. In the absence of saturation the Raman intensities depend linearly on the incident energy flux. In contrast, molecules excited in resonance will absorb light and undergo transitions into excited states which will depopulate the ground state. The magnitude of depopulation can be high with the high energy flux conditions associated with pulsed laser sources; the Raman intensities under such conditions will show sublinear dependences upon the excitation intensity.

The saturated pyrene Raman intensities, $I_p$ (photons/s sr) at relatively low laser fluxes will exhibit a linear dependence on the incident energy flux, $I_0$ (photons/s cm$^2$):

$$I_p = \sigma_p I_0 N_p \left[1 - \sigma_A I_0/2\right],$$

(1)

where $\sigma_p$ is the differential Raman cross section of the pyrene vibrational band (cm$^2$/molecule sr), $N_p$ is the number of pyrene molecules in the illuminated sample volume, and $\sigma_A$ is the molar absorptivity at the incident laser frequency. The non-absorbing internal standard scattering intensity $I_s$ remains directly proportional to the incident energy flux:

$$I_s = \sigma_s I_0 N_s,$$

(1a)

where $\sigma_s$ is the Raman scattering cross section of the internal standard and $N_s$ is the number of molecules of the internal standard in the sampling volume.

Thus, the relative intensity ratio of the pyrene to the acetonitrile internal standard band $R$ can be written as

$$R = \frac{\sigma_s C_p}{\sigma_s C_n} \left[1 - \sigma_A I_0/2\right],$$

(2)

where $C_p$ and $C_n$ (the concentration of pyrene and the internal standard, respectively) have been substituted for $N_p$ and $N_s$ since the number of molecules is directly proportional to their concentrations. At low incident energy fluxes, the relative intensity ratio decreases linearly as the excitation flux increases. The intercept of a plot of the relative intensity ratio vs the incident energy flux is proportional to the ratio of the Raman cross sections of the analyte to the internal standard bands. The intensities of the pyrene bands relative to one another within a spectrum are, of course, independent of the excitation energy flux even at fluxes where Raman saturation occurs; saturation effects only the total number of ground state analyte molecules available for Raman scattering.

This model is applied for the first time to obtain excitation profile data for pyrene, a molecule whose unsaturated excitation profiles cannot be measured with adequate signal-to-noise at 240 nm with our 20 Hz YAG laser excitation source. We confirmed the reliability of our extrapolation technique for pyrene by comparing extrapolated Raman cross sections to those obtained using a longer pulse width (16 ns), higher repetition rate 200 Hz excimer laser that delivers a tenfold smaller pulse energy.

We expect that the maximum likely relative error (±2 standard deviations) in the RREP cross section measurements is less than 25%. The extrapolated relative intensities were corrected for monochromator efficiency and were converted to total differential Raman cross sections using the method of Dudik et al. The total differential Raman cross section of the 918 cm$^{-1}$ acetonitrile band, which was previously shown to increase almost as $v_0^2$, was used as the internal standard. The intensities of the 1408 and 1553 cm$^{-1}$ pyrene modes were obtained from difference spectra where the overlapping contributions of the 1376 and 1440 cm$^{-1}$ acetonitrile bands were numerically removed.

Self-absorption was found to negligibly affect the excitation profile data due to the small "effective" absorption path lengths which appear to be less than 100 µm. We experimentally verified this by comparing the relative intensities of the CH$_2$CN solvent bands at 918 and 1376 cm$^{-1}$ in both pure solution and in our pyrene sample solutions. The effective sample self-absorption path length changes as a function of excitation wavelength and sample optical positioning. From our experimental study of self-absorption we conclude that the maximum self-absorption induced systematic deviation is less than 15%.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows the solution absorption spectrum of pyrene's electronic transitions to the $S_0$, $S_2$, and $S_4$ singlet states at 240 ($B_{1u}$), 272 ($B_{2u}$), and 334 ($B_{1u}$) nm, respective-
These strong dipole-allowed $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transitions are alternately polarized along the long and short pyrene molecular axes as indicated in Fig. 1. The weak absorption band due to the $S_1$ ($B_{2u}$) electronic transition at 371 nm is not shown. This $B_{2u}$ symmetry-allowed but orbitally forbidden transition shows a low oscillator strength, with its intensity deriving mainly from vibronic coupling mechanisms. The $S_4$, $S_3$, and $S_2$ pyrene singlet electronic transitions exhibit strong 0–0 absorption maxima and complex Franck–Condon vibronic progressions.

Typical resonance Raman spectra of pyrene obtained at excitation within the $S_4$, $S_3$, and $S_2$ electronic states are shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), respectively. Raman excitation was restricted to the $S_4$–$S_2$ electronic bands since fluorescence interference overwhelms the Raman intensities within the $S_1$ transition. The pyrene Raman intensities can vary nonlinearly with the incident laser power due to optical saturation (vide supra). In addition, phototransient Raman bands can be induced with sufficiently high laser intensities for particular excitation wavelengths. The spectra displayed in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), and those used to obtain excitation profile data, were acquired using excitation powers below that where phototransient formation and permanent sample photochemistry was observed. The Raman bands at 380, 918, 1376, and 1440 cm$^{-1}$ (shoulder) which derive from the solvent, acetonitrile, are shaded in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). The enhanced pyrene ring fundamental vibrations appear between 400 and 1650 cm$^{-1}$ and exhibit a strong excitation wavelength dependence throughout the three $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transitions. Table I lists the pyrene fundamental vibrations observed in our study and in the earlier normal Raman study by Bree et al. along with their symmetry assignments which derived from depolarization ratio measurements. Also included in Table I are Mecke and Klee's vibrational assignments. Obviously, the totally symmetric pyrene ring vibrations are most strongly resonantly enhanced throughout these three electronic transitions. The 1597 cm$^{-1}$ ($b_{3g}$) mode is the only non-totally symmetric mode significantly enhanced.

Figure 2(a) shows resonance Raman spectra of pyrene excited within its $S_4$ electronic transition. The vibrations most strongly enhanced by this electronic transition appear at 592, 1067, 1179, 1408, 1553, and 1632 cm$^{-1}$. The 592 and 1632 cm$^{-1}$ modes and their overtones and combinations dominate the $S_4$ resonance Raman spectra. The first overtone of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode occurs at 1184 cm$^{-1}$ and most likely contributes to the intensity of the observed 1179 cm$^{-1}$ band. Bree et al. tentatively assigned a 1174 cm$^{-1}$ mode to a nontotally symmetric $b_{3g}$ fundamental based on depolarization data from a normal Raman study, while Mecke and Klee assign an 1184 cm$^{-1}$ mode to a totally symmetric pyrene fundamental. The depolarization ratio of the 1179 cm$^{-1}$ mode measured within $S_4$ indicates that it is totally symmetric and most therefore derive from the vibration assigned by Mecke and Klee. The 1179 cm$^{-1}$ band intensity most likely derives from contributions from both the 1184 cm$^{-1}$ fundamental and from the first overtone of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode. The 1770 cm$^{-1}$ vibration enhanced at 225 nm is possibly the second overtone of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode.

Strong overtone and combination band activity was observed in the resonance Raman spectra at 228 nm which corresponds to excitation within the 231 nm $S_4$ absorption feature. Figure 3 shows the high frequency Raman spectral region above 1600 cm$^{-1}$ for excitation within each of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transitions. Tentative assignments for the intense bands are included in each spectrum; due to the nu-
FIG. 2. UV resonance Raman spectra of pyrene in acetonitrile at various excitation wavelengths throughout the $S_0$ (a), $S_1$ (b), and $S_2$ (c) electronic transitions. Solvent bands are shaded in the figure and sample concentrations vary as indicated. Pyrene Raman intensities show saturation and are artifactually decreased relative to that of the acetonitrile bands.
TABLE I. Pyrene fundamental frequencies and vibrational assignments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Vibrational type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td>o²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>844</td>
<td>B₁₆ or B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>904</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>958</td>
<td>B₁₆ or B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1067</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>γ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1145</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>δ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1174</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td>δ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1199</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1212</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1242</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1327</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1358</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1370</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1395</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1426</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1462</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1504</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1553</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1562</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1597</td>
<td>B₁₆</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1632</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1647</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1665</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1697</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3024</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3059</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3103</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Ref. 21. Assignments made using the Mulliken convention (Ref. 18).

A 1597 cm⁻¹ band with a depolarization ratio of 1.2 (263 nm excitation) is observed with moderate intensity with excitation in S₁ [see Fig. 2(b)]. This positively polarized B₁₆ vibration is the only non-totally symmetric mode which appears with moderate intensity; other B₁₆ modes are only very weakly enhanced. All of the other vibrations were polarized. Table II lists measured depolarization ratios for prominent pyrene vibrations with excitation in the S₄, S₃, and S₂ electronic transitions. A depolarization ratio of 0.33 will occur if one diagonal element of the polarizability tensor dominates; this occurs, for example, for a transition polarized along a single coordinate axis. Table II shows that many of the depolarization ratios are close to 0.33, as would be expected for excitation in these linearly polarized transitions. Any small deviation from 0.33 in the measured depolarization ratios could derive from resonances within the adjacent, alternately polarized electronic transitions or from experimental error. Large deviations must signal the presence of overlapping vibrations or the mixing in of a perpendicularly polarized electronic transition.

The resonance Raman spectra of pyrene excited within the S₄ electronic transition are shown in Fig. 2(c). Fluorescence from the S₁ state begins to interfere towards longer wavelength. The S₂ absorption band exhibits Franck-Condon vibronic features ~400 cm⁻¹ (330 nm), 1400 cm⁻¹ (237 nm) to higher energy from the 240 nm absorption band maximum, and the 231 nm vibronic feature [~1600 cm⁻¹ (231 nm)] from the absorption maximum] reflect strong Franck-Condon activity of the 592 and 1632 cm⁻¹ pyrene fundamentals, respectively.

Figure 2(b) shows the resonance Raman spectra obtained at excitation within the S₁ electronic transition. Vibrational progressions are observed in the absorption spectrum at ~500 and 1400 cm⁻¹ from the 272 nm electronic absorption band maximum. The prominent Raman bands occur at 408, 592, 1248, 1408, and 1632 cm⁻¹. New low frequency Raman bands at 408 cm⁻¹ (totally symmetric) and 574 cm⁻¹ appear that are not observed for excitation within S₄. The 574 cm⁻¹ mode is not observed in the normal Raman spectra of pyrene, and may derive from a vibration enhanced uniquely in S₄. The 408 and 592 cm⁻¹ pyrene fundamentals contribute to the intensity of the ~268 nm shoulder of the S₃ absorption maximum, while the 1408 cm⁻¹ mode is the primary contributor to the Franck-Condon vibronic absorption feature at 262 nm. The 1632 cm⁻¹ mode exhibits an unusual behavior for excitation in S₄. The intensity of this totally symmetric mode is a minimum at the 272 nm S₃ absorption maximum. The 1632 cm⁻¹ band intensity, however, becomes strong again in resonance with the S₂ transition.

Complex overtone and combination spectra are obtained with excitation at 261 nm which is within the 262 nm S₁ absorption feature (see Fig. 3). Many weak bands appear in the high frequency region. Several of the strong bands are clearly assignable to combinations or overtones involving the 1408 cm⁻¹ mode; the 1408 cm⁻¹ mode dominates the resonance Raman spectrum obtained with excitation within the S₂ electronic absorption band maximum.

The pyrene fundamental vibrations, some alternative assignments are also possible. As can be seen from the spectrum excited at 228 nm, combinations and overtones of the 592 and 1632 cm⁻¹ modes dominate the high frequency Raman spectral region for excitation in S₄. The overtone and combination intensities are comparable to those of the fundamentals. Direct correspondences between the S₄ absorption spectral features (Fig. 1) and the strongest enhanced Raman modes are evident. The shoulder that appears ~550 cm⁻¹ (237 nm) to higher energy from the 240 nm absorption band maximum, and the 231 nm vibronic feature [~1600 cm⁻¹ (231 nm)] from the absorption maximum] reflect strong Franck-Condon activity of the 592 and 1632 cm⁻¹ pyrene fundamentals, respectively.
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The observed band at 811 cm$^{-1}$ contains contributions from the overtone of the 408 cm$^{-1}$ mode and from a pyrene fundamental at this frequency. The 1221 cm$^{-1}$ band, resonantly enhanced within $S_2$, derives from the second overtone of the 408 cm$^{-1}$ mode; no fundamental occurs at this frequency. Tentative overtone and combination band assignments for the higher frequency Raman modes observed at 317 nm are shown in Fig. 3. These assignments parallel those of a low temperature fluorescence study of pyrene where fluorescent emission from $S_1$ also showed strong activity of the 408 and 1408 cm$^{-1}$ modes as overtones and combinations. Different overtone and combination bands are, as expected, observed in the Raman spectra excited within the $S_0$, $S_2$, and $S_1$ electronic transitions. The new Raman bands at 3026 and 3052 cm$^{-1}$ with 317 nm excitation are likely to derive from overtone and combination bands since we expect little enhancement of C–H stretching by these $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions.

The Raman intensities observed are strongly influenced by saturation phenomena. The resonance Raman spectra shown in Fig. 2 show decreased pyrene intensities relative to the acetonitrile solvent bands compared to that obtained from unsaturated resonance Raman spectra. As discussed in the experimental section, we determined the Raman cross sections for the excitation profile data by extrapolating the Raman intensities to zero incident energy flux.

Figure 4 shows the experimental resonance Raman excitation profiles (RREPs) of the totally symmetric 592, 1067, 1408, 1553, and 1632 cm$^{-1}$ vibrations throughout the $S_1$ absorption band (filled circles); the solution absorption spectrum is also shown for comparison in the upper left-hand panel. The RREP of the 1179 cm$^{-1}$ mode was not plotted since its intensity derives from overlapping contributions of a fundamental and the overtone of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode. The solid curves in Fig. 4 show RREPs calculated using the basic Condon resonance Raman transform theory (vide infra). In addition, the broken curves show RREPs calculated by transform theory which includes linear non-Condon coupling. The RREP calculated using the transform theory restricted to the Condon approximation deviates significantly from measured excitation profiles. Only the 592 cm$^{-1}$ RREP shows even qualitative agreement. The deviation between theory and experiment increases as the vibrational frequency of the band increases.

The RREP calculated from transform theory that includes non-Condon contributions accurately model the experimental RREP. Deviations of the data from the predicted RREP for the 1553 and 1632 cm$^{-1}$ modes are within experimental error. The larger differences observed for the 1408 cm$^{-1}$ mode are associated with an increased experimental error for this band, since it strongly overlaps the 1376 and 1440 cm$^{-1}$ acetonitrile solvent bands and its intensity must be determined by difference spectral measurements. The maximum resonance Raman cross section of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode, the mode most strongly coupled to the $S_1$ electronic transition, is $64 \pm 10^{-34}$ cm$^2$/molecule with 240 nm excitation. The 1632 cm$^{-1}$ mode is also strongly coupled to $S_1$ and shows a maximum cross section of $54 \pm 10^{-34}$ cm$^2$/sr at 240 nm.
TABLE II. Depolarization ratios of the intense pyrene fundamental vibrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation wavelength</th>
<th>Depolarization ratios(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>1408 1553 1597 1632 cm(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex = 243 nm(^a)</td>
<td>0.33 b 0.44 a 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex = 263 nm</td>
<td>0.34 0.53 0.73 1.2 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex = 317 nm</td>
<td>0.40 0.37 0.36 0.31(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Raman(^c)</td>
<td>0.05 0.14 0.08 0.70 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Peak is absent at this wavelength.
\(^b\) Peak intensity is small and overlaps significantly with other Raman bands.
\(^c\) Estimated error in the depolarization ratio measurements is less than 10% (±2σ) for the strong bands and as much as 20% (±2σ) for the weaker bands.

The high energy flux of the Nd-YAG laser excitation pulse induces saturation of the Raman intensities. Saturation is most apparent for excitation at wavelengths of high molar absorptivity. We have recently characterized the saturation that results with UV pulsed excitation for phenol and the aromatic amino acids tryptophan and tyrosine.\(^{15,16}\) Figure 5 shows the UVRR spectra of pyrene excited at 311 nm with incident energy fluxes of ~250(A) and 2.5(B) mJ/cm\(^2\) pulse along with the difference spectrum (C). The pyrene intensities relative to the internal standard obviously strongly depend upon incident energy flux, while the intensities of the pyrene peaks relative to one another are independent of laser energy. In addition to saturation, phototransient formation is evident in the higher power spectrum [Fig. 5(A)]. Photoinduced bands are observed in the difference spectrum at 592 (not shown), 1067, 1087, 1196, 1412, 1524, and 1632 cm\(^{-1}\). Observation of phototransient bands strongly depends upon excitation wavelength; no Raman bands deriving from transient species were observed with excitation at 240 or 319 nm even with high power, tight focusing conditions.

Figure 6 shows the laser power dependences of the intensities of the 918 cm\(^{-1}\) acetonitrile band, the 1196 cm\(^{-1}\) photoinduced transient mode, and the 1242 cm\(^{-1}\) pyrene fundamental vibration observed with 311 nm excitation. Neutral density filters of varying optical densities were used...
FIG. 5. UV resonance Raman spectra of pyrene excited at 311 nm with incident laser powers of ~10 mW(A) and 0.1 mW(B). The beam is focused to an estimated 500 µm diameter using a 25 cm focal length lens, which leads to estimated energy fluxes of ~250 and 2.5 mJ/cm² pulse and power fluxes of ~40 and 0.4 MW/cm², respectively. Phototransient Raman bands can be seen in the difference spectrum (C). Acetonitrile bands are shaded.

FIG. 6. Laser power dependences of the 918 cm⁻¹ acetonitrile band, the 1242 cm⁻¹ pyrene fundamental, and the 1196 cm⁻¹ phototransient band observed with 311 nm excitation focused to an estimated 500 µm diameter spot size. Pyrene sample concentration was 10⁻³ M. Neutral density filters were used to attenuate the incident laser power.

DISCUSSION

The absorption spectra of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons typically show highly structured UV-visible π→π* absorption bands which show intense Franck-Condon progressions. The high density of vibrational modes along with homogeneous and inhomogeneous band broadening, however, obscure underlying vibronic substructure to give relatively broad, less structured absorption bands. In the case of pyrene, each of the three strong symmetry-allowed π→π* electronic transitions exhibits distinct Franck-Condon substructure. The different vibronic progressions evident in each electronic transition indicate that different excited state geometric distortions are present in the S₄, S₃, and S₂ electronic transitions.

The π→π* electronic transitions of conjugated ring systems result in electron density changes which involve primarily the carbon atoms of the rings. Those normal coordinates whose potential energy distributions involve large contributions of in-plane carbon–carbon bond stretching and bending motion will be most strongly enhanced with
excitation in resonance with such transitions. Since the 
temperature of a excited state occurs along totally 
symmetric normal coordinates, totally symmetric modes 
which derive from A-term or Condron sources of Raman 
scattering are most strongly resonantly enhanced in these 
transitions.\textsuperscript{12,30}

Indeed, our UV resonance Raman spectroscopic inves-
tigations of pyrene show strong enhancement of totally sym-
metric in-plane fundamental ring vibrations. We attempted 
to correlate the calculated potential energy distributions 
(PED) of the enhanced vibrations\textsuperscript{31} to the electronic transi-
tion moments,\textsuperscript{19(b)} but were unable to discern any clear pat-
tern that gave qualitative agreement for the S\textsubscript{0}, S\textsubscript{1}, and S\textsubscript{2} transitions. The highly selective enhancement of particular 
vibrational modes by each of electronic transitions suggests 
that unique distortions must occur in each excited state. Our 
inability to rationalize the enhancement patterns probably 
has to do with the lack of detailed information on the PED along 
the pyrene internal coordinates; the only existing normal mode 
calculation groups the internal coordinates. It is also possi-
able, however, that problems exist with the PED calcula-
tions\textsuperscript{32,33} or the calculated transition dipole.

Pyrene fundamentals involving carbon–hydrogen vibra-
tions such as the 3024, 3059, and 3103 cm$^{-1}$ modes (see 
Table I) which are observed in the normal Raman spectra, 
are not resonantly enhanced with excitation between 220 
and 340 nm. The $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions should not result in appre-
ciable electron density changes or bond length changes 
for these vibrations; the coupling of C–H vibrations to 
$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transitions is expected to be weak.

As expected, both the 592 and 1632 cm$^{-1}$ modes also 
show strong Raman overtones and combination activity. The 
strong resonance Raman enhancements for these modes are 
consistent with the Franck–Condon features observed in the 
absorption spectrum; vibronic progressions occur $\approx 550$ 
cm$^{-1}$ (237 nm) and 1600 cm$^{-1}$ (231 nm) from the 240 nm 
absorption maximum.

The enhancement of the 1597 cm$^{-1}$ $b_{3g}$ band derives 
from a Herzberg–Teller mechanism in which the vibration 
gains intensity by vibronically coupling the S\textsubscript{0} and S\textsubscript{1} transi-
tions. The role of vibronic coupling in determining the spec-
 troscopic properties of aromatic hydrocarbons, including 
pyrene, has been extensively discussed in the literature.\textsuperscript{34–39} 
The low oscillator strength S\textsubscript{1} singlet states of PAHs often 
"borrow" oscillator strength by vibronically coupling with 
adjacent stronger transitions of the appropriate symmetry. 
Vibronic coupling activity within the higher excited elec-
tronic states of PAHs has also been documented.\textsuperscript{37(e)}

Our UVR Raman spectroscopic study documents vibronic coupling 
between pyrene's higher excited electronic states. The 
enhancement of the 1597 cm$^{-1}$ vibration probably derives 
from a B-term mechanism in which the 1597 cm$^{-1}$ $b_{3g}$ vibra-
tion vibronically couples the S\textsubscript{2} and S\textsubscript{0} states. This mode is of 
the proper symmetry to couple the S\textsubscript{2}($B_{2u}$) and S\textsubscript{0}($B_{1g}$) 
electronic states. The S\textsubscript{2}($B_{2u}$) and S\textsubscript{0}($B_{1g}$) states can also 
vibronically couple through $b_{3g}$ modes and an enhancement 
maximum for this vibration occurs between these electronic 
transitions. The 280 nm excited spectrum of Fig. 2(b) 
demonstrates strong enhancement for this vibration with excita-
tion between these transitions.

**TRANSFORM THEORY**

RREP\textsubscript{s} for the symmetric vibrational modes enhanced 
in the S\textsubscript{0} transition were calculated by using the optical 
transform theory. Transform theory relates the Raman 
scattering cross sections to the absorption cross section through 
the use of the optical theorem, the Kramers–Kronig disper-
sion relation, and recursion relations for harmonic oscillator 
wave functions. This function is derived in accord with a set 
of approximations which include (1) the assumption of har-
monic vibrational wave functions, (2) linear coupling of the 
excited electronic potential energy surface with nuclear dis-
placement, and (3) either a full adiabatic approximation in 
all of the Raman-active modes (i.e., the theory for nonzero 
temperatures developed by Tonks, Chan, Page, and co-
workers\textsuperscript{5}) or a full adiabatic approximation in the coordi-
nate of the Raman scattering vibration for which the RREP 
is being determined (i.e., the theory of Champion, Albrecht, 
and co-workers\textsuperscript{1(a)}). The theoretical development of the 
transform function has been discussed in detail in the work 
of Stallard, Champion, Albrecht, Chan, Page, and others.\textsuperscript{1,4–6,8}

The transform function for mode m, $\phi_m(v)$, evaluated at 
a particular excitation frequency is

$$\phi_m(v) = \frac{1}{\Pi} P \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma(x)}{x(x - v)} dx + i \frac{\sigma(v)}{v},$$

(3)

where $P$ denotes the principal value of the integral, $\sigma(x)$ 
and $\sigma(v)$ are the absorption cross sections (in cm$^2$/molecule) 
at frequencies $x$ and $v$ (in cm$^{-1}$), respectively, with $v$ being 
the excitation frequency. The transform function is related to 
the resonance Raman scattering polarizability amplitude for 
mode m as

$$\alpha_m(v) = (m) \phi(v) - \phi(v - v_m),$$

(4)

where $v_m$ is the vibrational frequency of the Raman mode, 
$m, \phi(v - v_m)$ is a function that is blue shifted from the original 
function $\phi(v)$ by the vibrational frequency of the Raman 
active mode for which the transform is being calculated. The 
total differential Raman cross section (in cm$^2$/molecules sr) 
for a totally symmetric mode using a 90° scattering geometry 
is proportional to the square of the Raman scattering amplitude 
and can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma_m(v)}{d\Omega}\right)_{\theta=90} = \text{const} \cdot S_m |\phi(v) - \phi(v - v_m)|^2,$$

(5)

where the parameter, $S_m = \Delta_v^2/2$ and $\Delta_v$ is the 
displacement of the excited state potential energy surface relative to 
the ground state along the particular normal coordinate $m$. 
The constant contains a $v^4$ frequency-dependent term and a 
Boltzmann term which monitors the occupation of the lowest 
vibrational level of the Raman-active mode.\textsuperscript{8(c)} The constant 
is also proportional to the square of the solution refractive 
index $n$ as seen in

$$\text{const} = \frac{3}{2} (v - v_m)^2 n^2 \left[\exp(hv_m/kT) - 1\right]^{-1} + 1,$$

(6)

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature.

The basic transform assumes that non-Condron activity
in the Raman-active mode for which the RREP is being calculated is absent. However, the basic transform expression can be modified to include non-Condon activity in the Raman-active mode by introducing the non-Condon parameter \( C_m \),

\[
\frac{d\sigma_m}{d\Omega}(\nu) \approx S_m (1 + C_m)(\nu) - (1 - C_m)(\nu - \nu_m)^2,
\]

where \( S_m \) specifies the relative non-Condon activity associated with an excited state displacement along \( m \). The experimental and calculated RREP shown in Fig. 4 illustrate that the basic transform theory [Eq. (5)] qualitatively predicts the RREP of only the 592 cm\(^{-1}\) mode but fails for higher frequency pyrene fundamental vibrations. The failure of the basic transform is most apparent for the higher frequency modes. The calculated RREPs have high energy features which are much more intense than are experimentally observed. The inclusion of excited state mode frequency changes into the basic transform does not significantly improve the fits. However, the RREPs can be accurately modeled by the addition of a small linear non-Condon coupling contribution.

Table III lists the Franck–Condon coupling strength parameters \( S_m \) obtained using the non-Condon transform expression. Also included in the table are the non-Condon parameters \( C_m \) utilized to obtain the best fit (by inspection) of the calculated curves to the experimental excitation profiles. \( S_m \) is related to \( \Delta_m \), which is the linear nuclear displacement of the excited state along mode \( m \) relative to its root mean square (rms) amplitude in the lowest vibrational level of the ground electronic state. The values for the nuclear displacements \( \Delta_m \) indicate that for \( S_4 \) the potentials are most displaced along the 592 cm\(^{-1}\) \( (\Delta_m = 1.4) \) and 1632 cm\(^{-1}\) \( (\Delta_m = 0.94) \) coordinates. We cannot directly correlate these dimensionless Franck–Condon displacements to changes in particular molecular bond lengths and angles in order to obtain excited state geometry information because of the lack of a detailed PED distribution in the normal mode calculations for pyrene. Table III also includes the product of the non-Condon parameter with the square of the Franck–Condon coupling strength. This product represents the ratio of the vibrationally induced transition moment (due to the \( m \) mode) relative to the allowed transition moment amplitude. The vibrationally induced moment represents only a small fraction of the total moment.

Figure 7 shows that a systematic increase occurs for the non-Condon contributions (the value of \( C_m \)) as the vibrational frequency increases. This behavior was predicted by Schomacker \textit{et al.} for systems where nonadiabatic perturbations influence the Raman cross sections.\(^{1(c),40}\) In systems where both non-Condon and nonadiabatic activity is present, the transform expression for the totally symmetric Stokes fundamental becomes

\[
\frac{d\sigma_m}{d\Omega}(\nu) \approx S_m \left[ (1 + C_m + \frac{C_m \nu_m}{\Delta E}) \phi(\nu) - (1 - C_m + \frac{C_m \nu_m}{\Delta E}) \phi(\nu - \nu_m) \right]^2,
\]

where \( \Delta E \) is the energy gap between the resonant transition and the electronic state(s) of proper symmetry to nonadiabatically couple through the symmetric mode for which the RREP is being calculated. The symmetric pyrene vibrations can couple the \( S_4(B_y) \) and \( S_3(B_z) \) transitions which are separated by an energy gap of \(-11 \) 700 cm\(^{-1}\). The data in Fig. 7 show that the observed frequency dependence does not follow the linear dependence predicted by Eq. (8). Each vibrational mode must also show a particular non-Condon contribution which depends upon the degree to which the vibration perturbs the transition moment. A systematic linear dependence upon frequency should occur for nonadiabatic coupling. However, the nonlinear variation of the magnitude \( C_m \) with frequency indicates that other parameters also define the magnitude of non-Condon activity.

Preresonant contributions to the Raman intensities from the \( S_4 \) (206 nm, \( B_y \)) or \( S_3 \) (196 nm, \( B_y \)) vacuum UV pyrene transitions did not interfere with our \( S_4 \) transform analysis due to their lower oscillator strengths and their large energy separations from \( S_4 \).\(^{19}\) This is confirmed by Table II which shows that the depolarization ratios for the totally symmetric pyrene vibrational modes deviate only slightly from 0.33, the value expected for linearly polarized transitions. Thus, the \( S_3 \), \( S_4 \), and \( S_6 \) transitions negligibly influenced the observed \( S_4 \) pyrene cross sections.

Although our present excitation profile measurements within the \( S_j \) and \( S_3 \) transitions are compromised by saturation, the relative cross sections of the pyrene bands within each spectrum remains unaffected by saturation, provided that phototransients are not enhanced. Thus, we compared the experimentally observed RREP of the relative intensity ratio between the 592 and 1242 cm\(^{-1}\) pyrene bands to that calculated by the Condon transform theory expression [Eq. (5)]. The transform calculation overestimates the relative Raman cross section of the 1242 cm\(^{-1}\) band with excitation on the blue side of the \( S_4 \) 0–0 transition. This behavior is similar to that observed for the high frequency modes in \( S_4 \). Thus, we conclude that non-Condon contributions may also be important for enhancement in the \( S_4 \) transition.

The use of transform theory to model the \( S_4 \) pyrene RREP requires inclusion of a significant non-Condon contribution. This contrasts to the transform modeling of the RREP of the \( S_3 \) state of azulene\(^{8(b),8(c)}\) and the \( S_7 \) state of \( \beta \)-carotene,\(^{6}\) which are accurately modeled within the Condon approximation. The requirement of a non-Condon contribu-

---

**TABLE III.** \( S_j \) excited state transform parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrational frequency (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( S_m )</th>
<th>( \Delta_m )</th>
<th>( C_m )</th>
<th>( C_m/S_m )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1067</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1553</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1632</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( S_m = (\Delta m/\Omega_m)^2 \) where \( \Omega_m \) is the rms of the ground state equilibrium position of mode \( m \). \( \Delta m \) is \( \Delta m/\Omega_m \).
tion for modeling of the RREP in the $S_4$ transition of pyrene is similar to that observed \textsuperscript{1(b),1(c)} for ferrocyanochrome $c$ excited in the Soret band. Indeed, the relative non-Condon contribution found for the 1362 cm$^{-1}$ mode of ferrocyanochrome $c$ is similar to what we find for pyrene.

Our successful transform modeling for the $S_4$ transition of pyrene stands in sharp contrast to the transform analysis of the $S_4$ transition of azulene\textsuperscript{6(a)} which could only acceptably model the RREP of the 674 cm$^{-1}$ vibration by including excited state frequency changes. Severe disagreement was found between the calculated and observed RREP for all of the other vibrations; incorporation of non-Condon contributions did not significantly improve the fits to the experimental results. Cable and Albrecht concluded that the approximations assumed in the transform theory were invalid for the $S_4$ azulene excited state. Major excited state structural changes, Duschinsky rotations, vibronic lifetime energy dependences, higher order coupling between electronic states, and interferences from preresonant electronic transitions will compromise the utility of transform theory.

**PYRENE RAMAN SATURATION AND STUDIES OF PHOTOCHEMICAL INTERMEDIATES**

Saturation of the Raman intensities occurs even with modest incident average laser powers of 2 mW and relatively weak focusing (estimated spot sizes of $>500$ $\mu$m) because of high peak pulse energies and peak powers associated with the low duty cycle, $\sim 6$ ns laser excitation pulse widths; the associated pulse energies and laser power fluxes under such conditions are $\sim 0.1$ mJ/pulse and $10^7$ W/cm$^2$. Saturation of the pyrene Raman intensities occurs with excitation throughout pyrene's $S_2$--$S_4$ electronic transitions and derives from depopulation of ground state pyrene molecules through molecular absorption during excitation within these high oscillator strength transitions. Fast internal conversion processes populate the long-lived ($\gg$ 40 ns) $S_1$ excited electronic state.\textsuperscript{41} The inherently long lifetime of $S_1$ bottlenecks relaxation back to the ground energy level. We see no evidence for permanent photochemistry during excitation conditions associated with saturation. Similar saturation phenomena are observed for excitation within the $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4$ electronic transitions with similar experimental conditions (molar absorptivity, incident energy flux). Furthermore, fluorescence excitation spectra (not shown) show that the fluorescent quantum yield from $S_1$ is independent of the electronic absorption band excited ($S_2$, $S_3$, or $S_4$) and simply scales with the molar absorptivity. Thus, the fluorescence data are consistent with a physical picture of Raman saturation deriving from molecular absorption with excitation into these strongly dipole-allowed electronic transitions followed by fast radiationless decay in solution to the bottleneck $S_1$ electronic state.

The pyrene absorption cross section at the $S_4$ absorption maximum (240 nm) is $3.1 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$/molecule. The differential Raman cross section of the 592 cm$^{-1}$ mode, the vibration most strongly coupled to this electronic transition, is $\sim 64 \times 10^{-24}$ cm$^2$/molecule sr. Thus, the probability of an absorption event is almost a millionfold higher than for Raman.

Figure 8 summarizes the photophysical processes which can occur.\textsuperscript{41(a),42} A photon of energy $h\nu_R$, Raman scatters from a ground state pyrene molecule ($S_0$) to yield a photon of energy $h\nu_R$ (1), or, if absorbed, induces a transition to the resonant $S_n^*$ excited electronic state (2). Nonradiative decay of $S_n^*$ results in the population of $S_1$ (3) which subsequently decays either radiatively by fluorescence (5), or nonradiatively through internal conversion processes (6). At high
concentrations (10^{-3} M), S_1 can complex with a ground state pyrene molecule S_0 to form an excimer, E^* (4, 42d, 42e). The excimer emits (7) a broad blue fluorescence (hν_{E^*}) that is red shifted from the structured violet monomer fluorescence emission (hν_{E^*}) and dissociates to two ground state pyrene molecules. The 10^{-3} M pyrene samples, indeed, exhibit a blue fluorescence indicating excimer formation. Excimer formation, however, does not contribute to pyrene saturation, since the excimer forms at a diffusion limited rate after population of S_1. Relaxation of the S_1 population is the rate determining step in the pyrene saturation phenomenon.

At high incident energy fluxes new photochemical phenomena occur (see Fig. 5) which, at particular excitation wavelengths, results in the appearance of new Raman bands. The intensities of the transient bands show a super-linear dependence upon incident energy flux. Pyrene photochemical transient Raman bands are observed at 592, 1067, 1087, 1196, 1412, 1524, and 1632 cm^{-1} for 311 nm excitation. The photochemical transient bands do not derive from excited state Raman scattering from S_1 since the frequencies differ significantly from frequencies which have been observed in S_1, low temperature absorption measurements. At high incident energy fluxes, multiphoton ionization can occur to produce pyrene cation radicals. Numerous previous laser photolysis studies of pyrene demonstrated production of cation radicals with excitation within the S_1 and S_2 electronic transitions by a sequential two-photon photoionization process which involves S_1 as an intermediate level. 41a, 42a, 42b We find that S_1 relaxed fluorescence does not continue to increase with increase in incident intensity at excitations where phototransients are formed. This is in contrast to the behavior which occurs when only simple saturation is present and photochemical intermediates do not depopulate S_1. With phototransient formation the relaxed fluorescence intensity reaches a maximum and then decreases as the excitation intensity continues to increase. This decrease apparently signals a depopulation of S_1 associated with photoionization and formation of the pyrene cation.

S_1 becomes populated subsequent to an absorption into the higher pyrene states because of fast relaxation (ps) to S_1.

The S_1 excited state lifetime of >40 ns ensures little relaxation back to the ground state within a single laser excitation pulse. For high incident intensities, and for a significant molar absorptivity for S_1 transitions to higher excited states, an additional photon can be absorbed to ionize and form a radical cation. Provided the radical cation species has a strong absorption band at the laser excitation wavelength, a third photon can resonance Raman scatter from the cation radical species. This obviously requires a high energy flux.

The resonance Raman excitation profiles for photochemically produced radical cation species should be very narrow since

\[ I(\nu) \approx K \epsilon_g(\nu) \epsilon_e(\nu) \nu^2 R(\nu), \]

where I(\nu) is the observed Raman intensity for the transient at the excitation frequency \( \nu \), \( K \) is a constant which depends upon the instrumentation, sampling conditions, sample concentration, and Raman cross sections, and \( \epsilon(\nu) \) are molar absorptivities, at the excitation frequency where the subscripts \( g, S_1, \) and \( R \) indicate the ground state, the \( S_1 \) excited state, and the cation radical, respectively. Obviously, the probability of observing resonance Raman intensity from the radical cation species is proportional to the probability of absorbing a photon to form \( S_1 \) times the probability of \( S_1 \) absorbing a photon to form the radical cation. This must be multiplied by the Raman cross section of the radical cation species at the excitation wavelength which is approximately proportional to the square of its molar absorptivity \( \epsilon^2(\nu) \).

Since pyrene is a highly conjugated, polarizable fused macrocycle, similar electronic manifolds are expected for the pyrene molecule and the pyrene radical cation. 43 The major differences in the absorption spectra of these species in the region of the \( S_1 \) transition derive from shifts between absorption band maxima. A twofold decrease in the resonance Raman excitation profile bandwidth would be observed for the cation radical compared to that for the ground state species if identical Gaussian absorption bands were present for \( g, S_1, \) and \( R \). For a real system, however, shifts occur between absorption bands, and we expect even narrower bandwidths for the "apparent" cation radical excitation profiles. These expectations are consistent with our pyrene photochemical transient studies where photochemical intermediates are observed only over very narrow wavelength intervals.

**CONCLUSION**

Excitation in resonance with each of the highly structured \( S_0, S_1, \) and \( S_2 \) excited electronic states of pyrene results in selective, strong enhancement of totally symmetric fundamentals which involve in-plane ring vibrations. The resonance Raman spectra indicate that the 592, 1408, and 1632 cm^{-1} modes most strongly couple to the \( S_2 \) electronic transition, whereas different vibrations couple to the \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) transitions. In particular, the 1408 cm^{-1} mode is the most enhanced vibration in \( S_1 \) and the 408 and the 1408 cm^{-1} modes are most enhanced in \( S_2 \). The Raman cross sections of the 592 and 1632 cm^{-1} modes for 240 nm excitation are \( \sim 64 \) and 54 b/sr (1 b = 10^{-24} cm^2/molecule), respectively. By comparison, alkane vibrational Raman cross sections at similar UV excitations are \( \sim 0.1 \) mb/sr. 44 The vibrations
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most strongly enhanced within the pyrene transitions are those showing Franck–Condon vibronic features in the absorption spectrum. Excitation within the high energy Franck–Condon vibronic features of the $S_2$–$S_4$ transitions at 317, 261, and 228 nm results in strong combination and overtone activity. The 1597 cm$^{-1}$ ($B_{3u}$) band shows selective enhancement at excitations between the $S_1(B_{1u})$ and $S_3(B_{2u})$ transitions and between the $S_1(B_{2u})$ and $S_2(B_{1u})$ states due to Herzberg–Teller vibronic coupling of these symmetry-allowed electronic transitions.

A comparison between the observed RREP of the totally symmetric pyrene modes in $S_1$ to those calculated using transform theory shows that small non-Condon contributions are required for accurate modeling. The observed frequency dependence of $C_m$ suggests that not only linear, but higher-order electron–nuclear coupling mechanisms contribute to the resonance Raman cross sections.
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