

Faculty Assembly Meeting Minutes
University Club
October 4, 2011

Topic/Discussion	Action
<u>Call to Order.</u> Vice President Pat Weiss called the meeting to order at 3:02 PM	The meeting commenced at. 3:02 PM
<u>Approval of the Minutes of the, 2011 Faculty Assembly</u> President Pinsky is out of town. Ms. Weiss asked for approval of the minutes of the, September 8, 2011 Faculty Assembly meeting.	The minutes were approved as written.
<u>Introduction of Items of New Business.</u> No new business.	
<u>Report of the President of the Senate, Michael Pinsky, MD presented by Ms. Weiss</u> (Pinsky out of town) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • President Pinsky wrote an article for Senate Matters on Academic Engagement. A committee was developed to work on this issue. • Senate Executive Committee in attendance at the PA Senate Appropriations on September 12, 2011 that occurred on campus. • Commonwealth Relation and Community Relations jointly met on September 9, 2011. A Commonwealth Relations meeting is scheduled for Pitt Titusville Campus on October 28, 2011. • Community Relations Committee is planning of plenary for November 10, 2011 • TAFC: Co-Chairs Constantino and Balaban will report at the next meeting on status of faculty promotion and tenure data. • Benefits and Welfare: Vice-Provost Blair investigated the issue of faculty obtaining ID cards, Walgreens negotiations; student health insurance to move to a paperless system, annual enrollment for eligible retirees • Next meeting will be held in Posvar Hall 	
<u>Report of Standing Committees.</u> None planned for meeting	
<u>Unfinished Business</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Faculty Ideas for New Book Center -</u> Plant Utilization committee: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • plans for renovation of book center and input is being sought from faculty and staff; • there is a forum on Pitt portal and the Senate website • ideas should be submitted via the above until Nov 7, 2011. • A message will be sent by Senate Office to forward to other faculty for input. 	

2. Open Access Issues & Recommendations of Task Force- Dr. Miller

In March 2009, Library Committee developed a Task Force which is being presented today regarding Open access policy.

- Worked for 1 year of this project to develop a draft policy and procedure. Task Force included: Rush Miller, Michael Madison (Law); Cynthia Miller (University Press); Steven Reis (Associate Vice Chancellor for Clinical Research); Adam Shear (Religious Studies); Karen Shepard (Law Library). PowerPoint is available on Senate website.
- Open access is a family of copyright policies under which authors and copyright owners make their works publically available. Movement in higher education to increase access to scholarly research and communication, not limiting it solely to subscribers or purchasers of work and is a response to the current crisis in scholarly communication.
- In addition to publishing, OA is compatible with copyright, peer review, revenue, print, preservation, prestige, quality, career advancement, indexing, and other supportive features.
- Copyright holders must consent to let users copy, use, distribute, transmit, and display the work publicly. This does so without financial, legal, or technical barriers. Copyright in this domain should be given to authors for control over the integrity of their work and the right to be properly acknowledged and cited.
- Origins of Open Access is due to the rise of internet and worldwide web and the crisis in scholarly journal pricing including the reduction of book buying in academic libraries
- 150 universities worldwide mandate open access deposits of faculty works
- There is a growth in the number of open access journals
- Rationale:
 - Increase visibility and discovery of output at the University of Pittsburgh and its faculty;
 - support mission of dissemination of research results to global academic community;
 - readily demonstrate the high level of research conducted at the University to international ratings agencies and colleagues;
 - greater access, progress, impact of your research; long term preservation in a trusted repository.
 - Number of scholarly articles: 50 million published 1665-2009; 1.4 M per year
- 50% of the content of the merged ISI indexes consists of title from 5 major publishers: Elsevier, Wiley, Springer, Taylor & Francis, Sage
 - Top 3 published account for 42% of all articles published;
 - over 2,000 publishers of academic journals
 - Open access movement has led to change. For example, Elsevier has new policy which allows authors to publish their own work on website in different version.
- Proposed Policy: provides dissemination of scholarly works by university authors; deposited by the University's institutional repository and handled by the University's Library Office of Scholarly Communication and Publishing;

- not intended to impose limitations on where authors can publish now or in the future;
- applies only to scholarly work for which the author retain copyright or the publisher allows deposit in local repository;
- authors may deposit (pre-publication manuscript; final edited copy, final published version)
- repository has had no impact on sale of journals or cancellation of journals by libraries;
- NIH research goes into open access after published in one year.

Discussion/issues:

- It has not been determined how is will be applied
 - University-wide vs by individual school review)
- Repository as it exists handles multiple formats and can identify specific access levels and uses. For example, some material can be embargoed for up to 5 years.
- In Health Sciences, faculty are already required to submit publications to the Senior Vice Chancellor. However, this is not the case across the University of Pittsburgh campus.
- This will be an evolving system and will take significant time.
- Discussion of the development of a University wide policy.
- The assembly supported the development of a resolution for presentation at the next Faculty Assembly (Dr. Becker).
- Dr. Constantino motioned to endorse Miller’s report; second by Bircher (vote not possible since as there was not a quorum).

3. Document attachments

- It was pointed out that receiving documents prior to the meeting is useful.
- In light of increasing faculty involvement the possibility of having them available on the Senate website should be considered.
- It was suggested that a section of the website be created.
- Ms. Molinaro agreed to do this in the future.

Vice Provost, Blair clarifies that a committee was not appointed to address the ID card issue.

- Issues were settled through existing channels with Faculty Records.
- If other issues emerge, they should be addressed to the Benefits and Welfare Committee.
- The issues of dual University citizenship issue should be addressed.

Announcements.

None

Adjournment. Meeting was adjourned at 4:25 PM

Respectfully submitted:

Linda Rose Frank, PhD, MSN, ACRN, FAAN

October 31, 2011.

Members attending:

Baker, Bartholomae, Beatty, Becker, Bircher, Bonneau, Buchanich, Constantino, Costantino, Culley, Daley, Fabian, Flynn, Frank, Frieze, Galloway, Gleason, Harbert, Hartman, Kane, Kear, Kearns, Kelly, Labrinidis, Lyon, Miller, Molinaro, Muenzer, Neft, Neufeld, Redmond, Savoia, Skledar, Slimick, Smith, Song, Spring, Sukits, Tananis, Terry, Weiss, Wendell, Withiam

Members not attending:

Barker, Bauer, Caldwell, Chase, Clermont, Cohen, Feuer, Gallagher, Greenberg, Hravnak, Looney, Mark, Mitchell-Weaver, Munro, Pan, Rougeux, Savinov, Smitherman, Smolinski, Steinberg

*Excused attendance:

Balaban, Beck, Berkowitz, Burkoff, Chaiklin, Chiarulli, Close, Gaddy, Kovacs, Lin, Lunsford, Majumdar, Pinsky, Rickman, Shaiman, Singh, Towers, Wilson

Others attending:

Berry, Blair, Czechowski, Epstein, Fedele, Hart, Husted, Ketchum, Miller, Tannery

*Notified Senate Office